OCM BOCES Network Team

DIRECTIONS for filling out 2011-2012 Annual Program Report

Take out your original Scope of Work document, in which you identified targets for the RTTT years.

uidents with Disabilities 18 7% 100 1 0 3 0 3 0 10 0 (Sall'ignal Language Learners 20 2% 2 3 3 0 3 0 10 0 (Sall'ignal Language Learners 42 6% 25 3 8 4 8 3 8 14 32 (Sall'ignal Matin Assessment authorits 46,3% 2 3 3 2 10 3 spanic or Lalino students 56,8% 2 3 3 2 10 10 spanic or Lalino students 56,8% 2 3 3 2 10 1 spanic or Lalino students 58,8% 2 3 3 2 10 2 splin Language Learners 35,8% 2 3 3 5 2 6 10 21 34 Moral Elandoritis 30,8% 3 4 4 4 3 14 4 4	postscordary education and employment. This commitment is demonstrated in the Roade to the Too State Plan by the Board setting antibilities between the buy and available of the plan and and of the Card but between the too but an advantage of the Card but between the Card but but between the Card but between the Card but but between the Card but but between the Card but		Measurable, sub	stantial p	rogress t	owards	college a	nd care	er suc	cess	and cl	osing g	japs in	achiev	vemen	t				
10, LEA, Compare your current student performance results to the statewide performance results listed in the table. A Chi all messare where your LEA performance is below the statewide average and the grant improvements in the outcomes. The four around increases must be greater than the State targets lated in the column TOTAL 4 TR CANNS, NYS. B. Chi all messares where your LEA performance is a found whose the statewide variety and the column TOTAL 4 TR CANNS, NYS. A an LEA works to sell is targets for shader outcomes. The State Estuation Total results increases must be equal to, or greater than the State state and the state of the s	10. LEA). Compare your current student performance results to the statework performance results listed in the column TOTAL. 4°R CANNS, NYS. A Ch call measures where your LEA performance is blook for the statework performance and the performance is also with the statework performance and the performance is also with the statework performance and the performance is also with the statework performance and the performance is also with the statework performance and the performance is also with the state of the statework performance is also with the state of the statework performance is also with the state of the statework performance is not column TOTAL. 4°R CANNS, NYS. As an LEA works to self stategies for stated ordinances from the state of the statework performance revenue is stated to the column TOTAL. 4°R CANNS, NYS. As an LEA works to self stategies for stated ordinances from the state of the state		postsecondary education and emp	loyment. 7	This comn	nitment i	is demons	trated i	n the F	Race t	o the 7	Top Sta	te Plan	by the	e Boar	d settir	ng amb	itious		
annual increases must be greater than the bilate targets lated in the column TO JR. 4 YR CANNS, NYS: B. On all measures where your LER performance is and or provide the statement of management of the column TO JR. 4 YR CANNS, NYS: As an LEX whose to sell is targets for stated or coloromes. When the statement of the column TO JR. 4 YR CANNS, NYS: Real CEX whose to sell is targets for stated or coloromes. Which should be a helpful factor in setting the targets. THE TO JR. 4 YR CANNS, NYS: THE JR. 4 YR CANNS, NYS: THE TO JR. 4 YR	annual increases must be greater than the Salte targets lated in the column *101AL 4*Y CANAS, YNS.* B. Ch all messes where your ELE performance is at one-whe elatinessed sweepage, etter greater on annual improvements in outcomes. The floor airrulal increases must be equal to, or greater than, the State targets lated in the column *101AL 4*Y CANAS, YNS.* As an LEA vicinity to sell ta targets for studies of colorises. Bellate Scusiotion Department recommends that the LEA examine multiple prior-year results data so that it can determine trends in performance, which should be a helpful factor in setting the targets. But the LEA examine multiple prior-year results data so that it can determine trends in performance, which should be a helpful factor in setting the targets. TABLE 1** AIR STATE LEA VIXI LEA		INSTRUCTIONS: Record your LEA 10, LEA). Compare your current stu	's current ident perfo	performan rmance re	ce on e	ach of the the statew	State F	lan me	trics o	on the t	table be	elow (co	olumn I	abeled	I, BASI	ELINE :	2009-		
B. On all measures where you LEA performance is at or above the statewistic severage, enter goals for amusal improvements in outcomes. The foor amusal impro	B. On all measures where you're LEA performance is at or above the statewide everage, enter goals for annual improvements in outcomes. The four annual improvements in the state of the state o		On all measures where your LE annual increases must be great.	A performa	ance is be	low the	statewide	averag	e, enter	goal:	for an	nual in	proven	nents ir	n the c	utcome	es. The	e four		
Trouble data so that it can determine trends in performance, which should be a helpful flactor in setting the targets: STUDENT OUTCOME MEASURES	Transition from the Care of Advanced (2 or 4) on NYS 6" Conde ELA Assessment 50 0.7% 52 2 4 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 0 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10												nnual ir YR GAI	mprove NS, NY	ments	in out	comes.	The		
STUDENT OUTCOME MEASURES BASELINE 2016-11 2011-12 2012-13 2011-12 2012-13 2011-12 2012-13 2011-12 2012-13 2011-12 2012-13 2011-12 2012-13 2011-12 2012-13 2011-12 2012-13 2011-1	STUDENT OUTCOME MEASURES DASSELINE DASSELINE DATE		As an LEA works to set its targets results data so that it can determine	for studen trends in p	nt outcome performan	es, the S ce, whic	State Educ th should b	ation Doe a hel	epartm pful fac	ent re tor in	comm setting	ends th the tar	at the I gets.	LEA ex	amine	multip	le prior	r-year		
### STUDENT OUTCOME MEASURES 10	## STUDENT OUTCOME MEASURES 19	1				T/	1		ents	ANN	IUAL P	FREOR	MANCE	TARG	FTS ¹				1	
No. LEA NYS	S. Prolicioni or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4" Grade Math S6.7% S 2		STUDENT OUTCOME	MEASURE	ES				2011		(perc	entage	points	gains)		3-14	YR G	AL 4 SAINS		
Section of Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4" Grade Math Assessment St. 3% 61 1 2 2 2 2 3 1 2 0 0	Specific and confidence of Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS A th Grade Math Assessment Si Orly 30 2 5 3 5 3 6 2 6 10 22 2 3 1 2 0 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9						$\overline{}$		NYS	LEA	NYS	LEA	NYS	LEA	NYS	LEA	_	_	1	
Assessment **N. Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade ELA Assessment **N. Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Mah Assessment (4 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Mah Assessment (4 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Mah Assessment (4 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Mah Assessment (4 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Mah Assessment (4 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Mah Assessment (4 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Mah Assessment (4 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Mah Assessment (4 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Mah Assessment (4 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Mah Assessment (4 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Mah Assessment (4 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Mah Assessment (4 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Septimized Mah Assessment (4 or 4) on NYS 8 th Grade Mah **Sept	Assessment % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) en NYS 8 th Crarke ELA Assessment \$1,000	1				essment	-			_	-	+-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
No. Procedure of Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 6** Girade Math 54.8% 58 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 11 11	No. Product or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8 th Crade Math S4 89t, 58 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 1 1 1	١		TO 4 OILLO			63.8%	61	1	2	2	2	2	3	1	2	6	9		
Associated Art Jumbers are rounded. The 4 th and 5 th grade ELA and math assessment data are from the 2000-10 school year as was reported in the SED never release on July 78, 2010. Source Note: Affurthern are rounded. The 4 th and 5 th grade ELA and math assessment data are from the 2000-10 school year as was reported in the SED never release on July 75, 2010. Source Note: A furthern are rounded. The 4 th and 5 th grade ELA and math assessment data have been undisted. The larnests are for 4 years, not 5 years. For more programs of the 100 percent of the 100 percent of 100 perc	Assessment Source Note: All numbers are rounded. The 4" and 6" grade ELA and math assessment data are from the 2020-10 school year as was reported in the SED never rollings. 2010. Terrorison have been adjusted from the State's RTTT apolitication. Since baseline data have been updated the furnests are for 4 years not 5 years. For more years and the state of the stat					essment	51.0%	39	2	5	3	5	3	6	2	6	10	22		
### Transet purpose adjusted from the State's RTTT accidation. Since baseline data have been undealed the largest are 6r 4 wars, not 5 years. For more papers or Latino students. ### Transet purpose or Latino students. ### Students with Disabilities. ### 10 3 0 3 0 3 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 0 0	### Trainets have been adjusted from the State's RTTT anotication. Since baseline data have been underted. The largets are for 4 years, not 5 years. For more spanies of Lallow Subderink. ### Trainets have been adjusted from the State's RTTT anotication. Since baseline data have been underted. The largets are for 4 years, not 5 years. For more spanies of Lallow Subderink. ### 10		Assessment										-							
Identified March Disabilities 1876 100 1 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 10 0 0	Identified Michigan Identified Identif		Source Note: All numbers are rounded. T 28, 2010.	he 4 th and 8 th	grade ELA	and math	assessment	t data are	from the	2009-	10 scho	ol year a	s was rep	ported in	the SE	D news	release o	on July		
Identified March Disabilities 1876 100 1 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 10 0 0	Identified Michigan Identified Identif		¹Torrate have been adjusted from the S	State's DTT	T applicatio	n Cinno	bosolino d	lata haw	hoon i	ndata	d the te	raete ar	o for A	inare n	ot E vo	ore Eo	r moro			
glish Language Learners	glish Language Learners 20,2% 2								_	_	_	_		_	-	-				
State Grand State State St	## STANDERS CHARGE STANDERS 2 3 3 3 2 10				100		0	_	0	-	_	0		0	-		0			
Advants with Disabilities 204 % 50 1 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 10 10 10 10 10 10	A A A B B B	-			25	-	8		8	_	-	8		8	-		32			
partie or Latino students	paraic or Latino students 50.8% 2 3 3 2 10 0	\$ 4	Grade Math Assessment	45.00		0			10000											
Description Company	Description Comparison Co						-			_	-	-		_	_		\dashv			
Standardaged students S2.7% 42 2 5 3 5 3 5 2 6 10 21	State Grade State				50	1	1		2		3	3		2	-	_	8			
Stitle GEM - Accessment Size of African-American students 30.8% 3 4 4 4 3 14	Stitle Grande ELA Assestment	glis	h Language Learners	35.8%		2		3					2		10	D				
Story Contact Contac	Statistical Matrix Sessions Stat			52.7%	42	2	5	3	5		3	5	2	6	10	0	21			
STUDENT OUTCOME METRICS SEASON	State Stat			30.6%		3		4			4		3		1.	4	_	_		
International plant Language Learners	plieh Language Learners 3.8% 4 4 5 4 177 TOTAL YI GAINS SIX OFFICIAL BITLE ASSESSMENT SIX OFFICIAL BITLE SIX OFFICE SIX OFFICIAL BITLE SIX OFFICIAL BITLE SIX OFFICIAL BITLE			33.2%		3		4			4		4		1	5	\neg			
TOTAL 4 YR SUBMITTED State variaged students 35.3% 35 3 3 3 4 4 3 4 13 14 4 3 4 13 14 4 3 4 13 14 4 3 4 13 14 4 3 4 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	TOTAL 4Y Station students 35.3% 35 3 3 3 4 4 3 4 13 14	ide	nts with Disabilities		0	_	6	3	6	_	_	6	3	7	-	_	25			
Solition Professional Number of Solition Professional Solition Pro	ACAINS At A distant-American students 32.1% 3						-	_	_			_							TOTA	LAVD
ack or Affician-American students 32.1% 3	ack or Affician-American students 32.1% 3 4 4 3 3 14 3 79 paine to Lainfor students 38.5% 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 13 19 paine Language Learners 10.24.3% 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 13 19 plan Language Learners 24.3% 3 3 4 4 4 3 3 3 13 19 plan Language Learners 24.3% 5 3 3 4 4 4 3 3 3 13 19 plan Language Learners 24.3% 5 3 3 4 4 4 3 3 3 13 19 plan Language Learners 24.3% 267 plan Language Learners 267 plan L			35.3%	35	3	3	3	3		4	4	3	4	1:	3	14		GA	INS
dents with Disabilities 16.8% 177 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 3 3 3 13 13 13 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	dents with Disabilities 16.8% 17 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 3 3 3 13 13 170 177 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17			32.1%		3		4			4		3		1-	4		A	NYS	LEA
plies Language Learners 24,3% 3 3 4 4 3 3 14 1 3 7 17 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	plieh Language Learners 24.3% 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 14 4 3 3 3 15 1 3 1 3 1 4 4 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									_	_				- 13	_			13	19
TABLE 4: College Persistence STUDENT OUTCOME METRICS TABLE 4: College Persistence STUDENT OUTCOME METRICS NYS LEA NYS	ANNUAL PERFORMANCE TARGETS STUDENT OUTCOME METRICS ANNUAL PERFORMANCE TARGETS 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE TARGETS 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE TARGETS 3013-14 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE TARGETS TYS LEA NYS LEA	_			17	_	3		3	-	_	4		3	-		13		17	17
CONTRY Time series on y, e.c., on day 30, 2002. Yhere reporting to griff-in-1 goods year impacts, the castler may about me anough the fall are as of June on nor day of enrollment is, then unpfall of enrollment is the months of enrollment. When these results become weelable, the State ellig rovible a new baseline for the 2008 orbitor through June 2019 that incorporates the federal cohort definition. Your annual present may read to adjusted at this time. TABLE 4: College Persistence STUDENT OUTCOME METRICS BASELINE STUDENT OUTCOME METRICS BASELINE 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 3013-14 3015-14 301	2003/18Those servines by Lesis on large yet. Alone, when reporting the collection on one day of enrollment by the months of enrollment. When These results become available, the States were revealed and one of enrollment by the months of enrollment. When These results become available, the States were previous a more beautien for the 2009 cohort through June 2019 incorporates this federal cohort definition. Your annual performance targets may need to be adjusted at this will be adjusted at the importance of the 2009 cohort through June 2019 incorporates this federal cohort definition. Your annual performance targets may need to be adjusted at the large term of the 2009 cohort through June 2019 incorporates this federal cohort definition. Your annual performance targets may need to be adjusted at the larget term of the 2009 cohort through June 2019 incorporates the federal cohort definition. Your annual performance targets may need to be adjusted at the larget term of the 2009 cohort through June 2019 incorporates the federal cohort definition. Your annual performance targets may need to adjust the performance targets may need to the 2009 cohort through June 2019 11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2013-14 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2013-14 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14			in the language	55	-	3		3	-	-	4	-	3	+	-	12	4	_	
COUNT BY New comment by LENS on July 24, JULY 25. When reporting the CUTH-11 Extractory have remaint a sough the new societies considerable by based on one day of environment 4. When mother of comments, when the considerable has States with the comment of the COURT COUNT COUN	200/II all Nas cernises by Less on July 30, Jobit. Yearn reporting the cultural stoody seri results, she based must adopt an enter scorar common connort memberally based on one day of confidents. When these results become weakled, the States will provide a new besteries. Per 2006 counts from July 201/19 to composition this federal colors definition. Your enroal performance brights may rever to be adjusted as the time. Table 4: College Persistence	3110	inday Disacranaged statems	41.070	- 00		١٠١	-				7	0		- "	,	10			
STUDENT OUTCOME METRICS BASELINE SHIPP SOUTH 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2013-14 2013-14 2013-14 2013-15 201	STUDENT OUTCOME METRICS BASELINE ANNIAL_PERFORMANCE TARGETS		2009 as Was cermed by on one day of enrollmen incorporates this federal	LEAS on Jury t vs. five mor cohort definit	y 30, 2009. nths of enro ion. Your ar	vvnen rep liment). V nnual perfe							adopt tn rovide a	e new te new bas	ecerar co seline fo	onort det r the 20	nnition (d 06 cohor	n da conort m rt throug	ta are a embers h June	s of Jun hip base 2010 tha
STUDENT OUTCOME METRICS 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 WYS LEA NYS	STUDENT OUTCOME METRICS NYS LEA NYS L						- 1	_		_	ersiste	ce	ANNI	IAI PE	REOP	MANCE	TARCE	FTS		
% High school graduates enrolled in a public New York State institution of higher deutation with mile for member of graduation	54 High school graduates emploid in a public New York State institution of higher deculation within 16 months of graduation 45% 30 46% 34 49% 37 51% 39 53% 40		STUDI	ENT OUTCO	OME METR	RICS					201	0-11		2011-12	2	20	12-13		2013	-14
5 Students returning in the fall who started a first-lime, fall-time program in New York State the year prof. (pleasine, 2007.6) (pleasine, 2007.6) (program in New York State the year prof. (pleasine, 2007.6) (program in New York State they are provide baseline data to the extent available and explain the methodology for calcutating. In the long term, the New York State longitudinal data system will provide this information for students who remain in New York State. (response is limited to 500 characters) Based on your analysis of the LEA's annual goals for student outcomes data in the table above compared to the State's RTTT plan goals, please select 3-5 autonom metrics your school district or public charter school will florus its RTTT instaltive to improve student outcomes: Student Outcome Matric: Priorities for improvement 1. IN'S B ² Charle ELA assessments for SWD 2. IN'S B ² Charle ELA assessments for low SES students 3. Students at or above 75 on English Regents 4. 5.	Sis Sudents returning in the fail who stanted a first-time, full-time program in New York State the year profit posaeline; 2007-05. Please provide baseline data to the autorit available and explain the methodology for calculating. In the long term, the New York State longitudinal data system will provide this information for students who remain in New York State (response in limited to 500 characters). Based on your analysis of the LEA's annual goals for student outcomes data in the table above compared to the State's RTTT plan goals, please select 3-5 autome metrics your school district or public charter school will focus in RTTT inflations to improve student outcomes: Student Outcome Metric: Priorities for Improvement 1. NYS 6" Grade ELA assessments for SWD 2. NYS 6" Grade ELA assessments for low SES students 3. Students at or above 75 on English Regents 4.		institution of higher ed																	
Pisase provide baseline data to the extent available and explain the methodology for calculating. In the long torm, the New York State longitudinal data system will provide this information for students who remain in New York State, response is limited to 500 characters). Based on your analysis of the LEA's annual goals for student outcomes data in the table above compared to the State's RTTT plan goals, please select 3-5 autonome metrics your crool district or public charter school will florus its RTTT instantes to improve student outcomes: Student Outcome Matric: Priorities for improvement INYS (6" Grade ELA assessment for SWD 2. NYS (6" Grade ELA assessments for low SES students 3. Students are achieving at a lower love than all students 4. 5. Suddents are achieving at a lower love than all students 5. Suddents are achieving at a lower love than all students	Pissas provide baseline data to the extent available and explain the nethodology for calculating. In the long term, the New York State longitudinal data system will provide this information for students who remain in New York State (response is limited to 500 characters). Based on your analysis of the LEA's annual goals for student outcomes data in the table above compared to the State's RTIT plan goals, please select 3-5 autocome metrics your school district or public charter school will foots in RTIT initiatives to improve student outcomes: Student Outcome Metric: Priorities for Improvement 1. NYS 6" Grade ELA assessment for SVIO" 2. NYS 6" Grade ELA assessments for low SES students 3. Students at or above 75 on English Regents 4.		% Students returning i	n the fall wh State the ye	no started a ar prior (ba	first-time seline: 20	e, full-time 007-08)	72%			73%		749	%		75%		7	6%	
select 3-5 outcome metrics your school district or public charter school will focus its RTTT initiatives to improve student outcomes: Student Outcome Netric: Priorities for improvement 1. NYS 8" Grade ELA assessment for SWD 2. NYS 4" Grade ELA assessments for low SES students 3. Students at or above 75 on English Regents 4. 5.	select 3-5 outcome metrics your school district or public charter school will focus its RTTT initiatives to improve student outcomes: Student Outcome Metric: Priorities for Improvement 1. NYS 6" Grade ELA assessment for SWO SWD are achieving at a level lower than all students 2. NYS 4" Grade ELA assessments for tow SES students 3. Students at or above 75 on English Regents 4. 5.		Please provide baselir will provide this inform	e data to th ation for stu	e extent av dents who	ailable a remain ir	nd explain t New York	the meth State. (iodology respons	for ca e is lim	lculatin	g. In the 500 cha	e long te racters)	rm, the	New Y	ork Stat	te longit	tudinal	data sy	stem
Student Outcome Metric: Priorities for improvement 1. NYS 8 [®] -Cred La assessment For SWD 2. NYS 4 [®] -Cred ELA assessment for SWD 3. SWD are achieving at a level lower than all students 3. Students at or above 75 on English Regents 4. 5. 5.	Student Outcome Metric: Priorities for Improvement 1. NYS 6 th Crade ELA assessment for SWD 2. NYS 4 th Grade ELA assessments for low SES students 3. Students at or above 75 on English Regents 4. 5.		Based on your analy select 3-5 outcome r	sis of the L	EA's ann	ual goals	s for stude	ent outco	omes d	ata in I focu	the tab	le abov	e comp	pared to	o the S	itate's l	RTTT p	olan go	als, pl	ease
NYS 4" Grade ELA assessments for low SES students Students are achieving at a lower level than all students Students are achieving at a lower level than all students TS%, is level to avoid remediation in college TS%, is level to avoid remediation in college	2. NYS 4" Grade ELA assessments for low SES students 3. Students at or above 75 on English Regents 4. 5.		Student Outo	ome Metric	: Prioritie:	s for Imp							Rationa	le for S	electin	g the N				
4. 5.	4. 5.		NYS 4 th Grade EL. Students at or abo	A assessme	ents for low	SES stu	dents		SE	S stu	dents ar	e achie	ving at a	lower le	evel tha	dents an all st	udents			
			4.						_						_					
				ATION DEPA	RTMENT •	10.04,10				_									_	4

Print out the report (available as of September 26th) on the IRS Portal title: "LEA Goals for Student Outcomes - September 2012.

Race to the Top Plan metrics.		
Institution ID		
BEDS Code		
District Name		
Table 1: STUDENT OUTCOME MEASURES: % Proficient or A All Students	dvanced (3 or 4	I) on NYS Assessment
The grades 4 and 8 ELA and math assessment data shown in table 1 are from the release on July 17, 2012 (http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/pressRelease/20120717 12 school year, but are embargoed until the school report card release later this:	home.html). The di	ear as was reported in the SED news ata shown in table 2 are from the 2011-
% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment	60	
% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade Math Assessment	60	
% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment	43.33	
% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment	76.67	
Table 2: NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment		
Black or African-American students (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))		
Hispanic or Latino students (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))		
Students with Disabilities (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))	20	
English Language Learners (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))		
Economically Disadvantaged students (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))	43.75	
Table 2: NYS 4th Grade Math Assessment Black or African-American students (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))		
Hispanic or Latino students (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))		
Students with Disabilities (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))	40	
English Language Learners (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))		
Economically Disadvantaged students (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))	43.75	
Table 2: NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment		
Black or African-American students (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))		
Hispanic or Latino students (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))		
Students with Disabilities (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))	0	
English Language Learners (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))	40	
Economically Disadvantaged students (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))	29.41	
Table 2: NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment		
Black or African-American students (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))		
Hispanic or Latino students (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))		
Students with Disabilities (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))	0	
English Language Learners (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))		
Economically Disadvantaged students (% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4))	64.71	
Table 3: High School Performance STUDENT OUTCOME ME	TRICS	
College-readiness (% Students Scoring at or above 75 on ELA Regents, % Studi Graduation Rate data are for the 2007 total cohort after 4 years as was publicly n http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/pressRelease/20120611/home.html)		
% Students Scoring At or Above 75 on the English Language Arts Regents Ex	am	56.10%
% Students Scoring At or Above 80 on the Math Regents Exam Required for C		39.02%
Four-year cohort high school graduation rate		80.49%

Compare the numbers on the two documents to complete the Annual Program Report.

Please review your submission for the RTTT Annual Program Report. If needed, click on the Edit Table link to update any dat Once all required items have been completed, please click Continue button. TABLE 1: All Students	TABLE 1: All Students Edt Teble 1 Metrics OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Resi tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment Met LEA Targets tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment Met LEA Targets tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Did Not Meet LEA Targets tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Did Not Meet LEA Targets tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Exceeded LEA Targets TABLE 2: Gap Closing Edit Table 2 Metrics OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Results rade ELA Assessment Not Applicable Did Not Meet LEA Targets Intimo Students Not Applicable Did Not Meet LEA Targets Intimo Students Not Applicable Did No			needed, click on the Edit Table link	
TABLE 1: All Students	TABLE 1: All Students Edit Teble 1 Metrics OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Resilt or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment TABLE 2: Gap Closing Edit Teble 2 Metrics OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment Assessment TABLE 2: Gap Closing Edit Teble 2 Metrics OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment Assessment Ican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable Lip Disadvantaged Students Not Applicable	Once all required items have been con	npleted, please click Continue bu		to update any data that is
Edit Table 1 Metrics Edit Table 1 Metrics Ecit Table 1 Metrics Ecit Table 1 Metrics ELA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Five Proficient or Advanc	CUTCOME MEASURES Let Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment Met LEA Targets tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment Did Not Meet LEA Targets tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Did Not Meet LEA Targets tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Did Not Meet LEA Targets tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Exceeded LEA Targets TABLE 2: Gap Closing Edit Table 2 Metrics OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Results rade ELA Assessment Ican-American Students Not Applicable In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets In Disabilities In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets In Disabilities In Disabilities			tton.	
Edit Table 1 Metrics Edit Table 1 Metrics Edit Table 2 Metrics Edit Table 2 Metrics	CUTCOME MEASURES Let Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment Met LEA Targets tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment Did Not Meet LEA Targets tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Did Not Meet LEA Targets tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Did Not Meet LEA Targets tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Exceeded LEA Targets TABLE 2: Gap Closing Edit Table 2 Metrics OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Results rade ELA Assessment Ican-American Students Not Applicable In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets In Disabilities In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets In Disabilities In Disabilities				
STUDENT OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment Met LEA Targets % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Did Not Meet LEA Targets % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Exceeded LEA Targets % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Exceeded LEA Targets % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Exceeded LEA Targets % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Exceeded LEA Targets % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment % Proficient Or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS	OUTCOME MEASURES It or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment I to rAdvanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment I to rAdvanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade Math Assessment I Did Not Meet LEA Targets It or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment I Did Not Meet LEA Targets It or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment I Did Not Meet LEA Targets It or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment I Did Not Meet LEA Targets TABLE 2: Gap Closing Edit Table 2 Metrics OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Results rade ELA Assessment Not Applicable I Did Not Meet LEA Targets In It Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets In It Disabiliti				
Met LEA Targets Met LEA Ta	t or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade Math Assessment tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Did Not Meet LEA Targets tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment TABLE 2: Gap Closing Edit Table 2: Metrics OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment Incon-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable Lip Use Assessment Incon-American Students Sudents Not Applicable Lip Use Assessment Incon-American Students Sudents Sudents Not Applicable Sudents				
% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Grade Math Assessment Did Not Meet LEA Targets	t or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 4th Crade Math Assessment Did Not Meet LEA Targets		no et Onde Fild Income		ormance Targets vs Res
% Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment % Proficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Exceeded LEA Targets TABLE 2: Gap Closing Edit Table 2 Metrics STUDENT OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Results NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment	tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment tor Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Exceeded LEA Targets TABLE 2: Gap Closing Edit Table 2 Metrics OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Results rade ELA Assessment ican-American Students Not Applicable Librio Students Not Applicable Ith Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets rguage Learners Not Applicable Ith Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets rguage Learners Not Applicable Ith Disabilities Not Applicable Ith Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets rguage Learners Not Applicable Ith Disabilities Not Applicable Ith Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets rguage Learners Not Applicable Ith Disabilities Ith Disabilities Did Not Applicable Ith Disabilities Did Not Applicable Ith Disabilities Did Not Applicable				
Forficient or Advanced (3 or 4) on NYS 8th Grade Math Assessment Exceeded LEA Targets	TABLE 2: Gap Closing Edit Table 2: Metrics OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Results rade ELA Assessment (Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable Ity Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets rade Math Assessment In Ity Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets rade Math Assessment In Ity Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets rade Math Assessment Ity Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets Ity Disadvantag				
TABLE 2: Gap Closing	TABLE 2: Gap Closing		The second secon		
STUDENT OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Results NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment Black or African-American Students Not Applicable Hapanic or Latino Students Not Applicable Students with Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets English Language Learners Not Applicable Economically Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets	OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Results rade ELA Assessment fican-American Students Not Applicable Lialino Students Not Applicable Lialino Students Not Applicable In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets Inguage Learners Not Applicable Exceeded LEA Targets Inguage Learners Not Applicable Incommendation of the Comment	% Proficient or Advanced (3 to 4) on is	YS 8th Grade Wath Assessment	Exceeded LCA Taigets	
STUDENT OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Results NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment Black or African-American Students Not Applicable Hapanic or Latino Students Not Applicable Students with Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets English Language Learners Not Applicable Economically Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets	OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Results rade ELA Assessment fican-American Students Not Applicable Lialino Students Not Applicable Lialino Students Not Applicable In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets Inguage Learners Not Applicable Exceeded LEA Targets Inguage Learners Not Applicable Incommendation of the Comment				
STUDENT OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Results NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment Black or African-American Students Not Applicable Hapanic or Latino Students Not Applicable Students with Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets English Language Learners Not Applicable Economically Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets	OUTCOME MEASURES LEA Assessment of Annual Performance Targets vs Results rade ELA Assessment				
NYS 4th Grade ELA Assessment Black or African-American Students Not Applicable Hispanic or Latino Students Not Applicable Students with Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets English Language Learners Not Applicable Economically Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets	rade ELA Assessment ican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets Inguage Learners Not Applicable Ily Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets Ily Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets Index Assessment Index Assessmen	STUDENT OUTCOME MEASURES			
Black or African-American Students Not Applicable	ican-American Students Not Applicable Letino Students Not Applicable Ith Disabilities Dd Not Meet LEA Targets Illy Disadvantaged Students Illy		LEA Assessment of Acs.	enormanos rargera va resona	
Hispanic or Latino Students Not Applicable Students with Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets English Language Learners Not Applicable Economically Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets	Latino Students Not Applicable In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets Not Applicable Not Applicable In Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets Not Applicable In Disabilities Disabil		Not Applicable		-
Students with Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets English Language Learners Not Applicable Economically Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets	Ith Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets	- u			-
English Language Learners Not Applicable Economically Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets	Iguage Learners Not Applicable Ily Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets rade Math Assessment				-
Economically Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets	Illy Disadvantaged Students Exceeded LEA Targets rade Math Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable		10		-
	rade Math Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable				-
The state of the s	rican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable		Exceeded EET Targets		-1
Black or African-American Students Not Applicable	Latino Students Not Applicable		Not Applicable		-
					7
					7
English Language Learners Not Applicable	guage Learners Not Applicable				7
Economically Disadvantaged Students Did Not Meet LEA Targets					7
NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment	lly Disadvantaged Students Did Not Meet LEA Targets				7
Black or African-American Students Not Applicable		Black or African-American Students	Not Applicable		
Hispanic or Latino Students Not Applicable	rade ELA Assessment	Hispanic or Latino Students	Not Applicable		7
	rade ELA Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable	Students with Disabilities	Did Not Meet LEA Targets		
Students with Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets	rade ELA Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable		Not Applicable		
	rade ELA Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable lith Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets				_
	rade ELA Assessment	English Language Learners	Did Not Meet LEA Targets		
English Language Learners Economically Disadvantaped Students Did Not Meet LEA Targets NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Black or African-American Students Not Applicable Hispanic or Latino Students Not Applicable		English Language Learners Economically Disadvantaged Students NYS 8th Grade ELA Assessment Black or African-American Students Hispanic or Latino Students	Not Applicable Did Not Meet LEA Targets Not Applicable Not Applicable		
	rade ELA Assessment	1700010			-
	rade ELA Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable	Students with Disabilities	Did Not Meet LEA Targets		
Students with Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets	rade ELA Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable		Not Applicable		
English Language Learners Not Applicable	rade ELA Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable In Disabilities Did Not Med LEA Targets In Disabilities Not Applicable In Disabilities Not Applicable In Disabilities In	English Language Learners	W		
English Language Learners Not Applicable		English Language Learners Economically Disadvantaged Students	Did Not Meet LEA Targets		_
					⊣ -
Economically Disadvantaged Students Did Not Meet LEA Targets		Economically Disadvantaged Students	Did Not Meet LEA Targets		7
Economically Disadvantaged Students Did Not Meet LEA Targets		Economically Disadvantaged Students	Did Not Meet LEA Targets		
	lly Disadvantaged Students Did Not Meet LEA Targets				7
Black or African-American Students Not Applicable		Black or African-American Students	Not Applicable		
	rade ELA Assessment	1700010			
Hispanic or Latino Students Not Applicable	rade ELA Assessment	Hispanic or Latino Students	Not Applicable	T T	7
	rade ELA Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable	Hispanic or Latino Students			_
	rade ELA Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable	Students with Disabilities	Did Not Meet LEA Targets	Ī	i
	rade ELA Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable	Students with Disabilities	Did Not Meet LEA Targets		
Students with Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets	rade ELA Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable		Not Applicable		
	rade ELA Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable ith Disabilities Did Not Meet LEA Targets				=
English Language Learners Not Applicable	rade ELA Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable In Disabilities Did Not Medit LEA Targets riguage Learners Not Applicable	English Language Learners			
English Language Learners Not Applicable	rade ELA Assessment rican-American Students Not Applicable Latino Students Not Applicable In Disabilities Did Not Medit LEA Targets riguage Learners Not Applicable	English Language Learners	Did Not Meet LEA Targets		