

Student Learning Objectives



Included in this packet:

- Section D of NYSED's APPR Guidance
- Section E of NYSED's APPR Guidance
- Section F of NYSED's APPR Guidance
- Section I of NYSED's APPR Guidance
- Pages 1, 2 and 3 of the Purple Memo
- Steps 3 and 4 of the Teacher's Road Map
- Steps 3 and 4 of the Principal's Road Map

Please note: This packet contains selected portions of NYSED's APPR Guidance, the Purple Memo, and the Teacher's & Principal's Road Maps. The complete versions of these documents can be found at <http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>.



APPR Guidance



Purple Memo



Teacher & Principal Road Maps

APPR Guidance

D. Student Growth on State Assessments or Other Comparable Measures

State-Provided Growth Measures

D1. What is the State providing for 11-12 for the Teacher or Principal Growth scores?

The State-provided teacher and principal growth scores will be provided for all teachers and principals in grades 4-8 ELA and/or Math. Detailed documentation and training materials will be available on EngageNY in May. Briefly, the growth scores will be calculated as follows:

- For each student in grade 4-8, a student growth percentile (SGP) will be calculated based on his or her ELA and Math State assessment results. The calculated SGP will compare each student's 2011-12 results with his/her 2010-11 results to determine how much growth has occurred. Each student's growth will then be compared to the growth of students with similar academic test history. Student test history will include up to three years of assessment data, with adjustments made to account for test measurement error.
- Before determining teacher or principal evaluation ratings based on the SGPs, the results will be adjusted for whether a student lives in poverty, is an English Language Learner or has a disability.
- Students will be assigned to teachers and schools based on linkage information provided by districts and attribution rules established by SED. (See <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/documentation/Teacher-CourseDataCollection-final-5-2-11-2.pdf> for detailed guidance on teacher-student data linkage).
- For 2011-12, students will have to be linked to teachers for a minimum time period to be included in the teacher's review. This is defined as the number of calendar days (exclusive) between "BEDS Day" (October 5, 2011) and the first day of the elementary/middle-level ELA or math assessment administration window (April 17 and 25, 2012, respectively) or 195 calendar days for teachers of grades 4-8 ELA and 203 calendar days for teachers of grades 4-8 math. And they will have to meet the definition of "continuous enrollment" for State accountability purposes to be included in the principal growth score.
- The median student growth percentile (MGP) score will then be determined for each applicable teacher and principal—the MGP is simply

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

the SGP that is in the middle of the distribution of student SGPs for that teacher or school.

- Subject to meeting the minimum number of students required for an evaluation score (number TBD in spring 2012), the MGP adjusted for the student demographics noted above, will be combined across all grades and subjects for the teacher or principal and will then be used to classify the teacher or principal into one of four rating categories (Highly Effective, Effective, Developing or Ineffective). A score from 0-20 points will be assigned to each educator and it will be possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0 for the Growth subcomponent.

D2. When will the State-provided teacher or principal growth scores be provided to Districts, schools, and teachers?

In 2011-12, the State assessment results for students will be provided to districts in July and teacher and principal growth scores will be provided to districts to incorporate into educator evaluations within three weeks of that date.

The State will provide the information needed for districts who have selected through collective bargaining to use different locally-selected measures based on State tests at the time the State provides the State growth scores to districts.

Online reporting that will be accessible to teachers, principals, and other district staff, will be available in the Fall of 2012. The online reports will include detailed information about the calculation of the growth scores for each teacher and principal.

D3. What is a “value-added score” and how is it different from the Teacher or Principal Median Student Growth Percentile Score?

When the Board of Regents approves the use of a “value-added model” for use with particular grades and subjects for 2012-13 or later years, educators who teach these courses will receive from 0-25 points on their evaluations based on their teacher or principal value-added (VA) score, for the Growth Subcomponent. As with the “growth score”, the State plans to calculate a student growth percentile (SGP) for each student, comparing the progress that each individual student makes each year on the applicable State assessments to the progress of other students in that grade/subject with similar past achievement on New York State assessments.

To determine the teacher or principal value-added score, the State will assign students to their teacher of record according to rules in effect at that time (see Question B2 above), and to their principal. The value-added score provider will then take into account any of a wide range of student, classroom, and/or school characteristics that the provider, with approval from the Board of Regents,

This document is an excerpt from NYSED’s APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

determines are necessary, for empirical and policy reasons, to compare the growth performance of classes and schools to those with similar characteristics.

As with the growth scores for 2011-12, the State's growth and value-added model provider will make a recommendation to the State regarding how to account for test measurement error and statistical uncertainty in determining scores for individual educators in 12-13 and beyond. The provider will begin by making recommendations for value-added models covering teachers of grades 4-8 ELA and/or 4-8 Mathematics and their building principals, and will recommend models for additional grades/subjects in future years.

The provider will also be asked to provide analyses in support of policy decisions. For example, the provider will be asked to ensure that small changes in student learning do not result in extreme positive or negative results for educators because of students clustered at either the high or low end of achievement scales or other statistical anomalies. The result of these analyses will be teacher or principal value-added scores, and will lead to the assignment of 0-25 points for the Growth Subcomponent for evaluation purposes

Teacher and principal value-added results for all grades and subjects applicable to that educator will be aggregated into a single result from which one of the four rating categories (Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, Ineffective or HEDI) and a score from 0-25 will be assigned according to the narrative descriptions and scoring bands in regulation.

D4. How will the teacher and principal growth score be determined if there is no value-added or growth model based on State assessments?

Beginning in the 2012-13 school year, it is expected that the State will have approved value-added measures for teachers and principals in ELA and/or Mathematics for grades 4-8. However, if a value-added model is not approved for any of these educators, the State will continue to use the growth model used for the 2011-12 school year to calculate student growth, and the Growth Subcomponent of these teachers' and principals' evaluations will count for 20 points until such time that the State is able to calculate a value-added model for these subjects in these grades. For High School principals, the State expects to have an approved value-added growth score for this subcomponent in 2012-13. If that does not happen, High School principals will construct SLOs for 2012-13.

In all other grades and subjects (i.e., those for which the State does not have an approved growth or value-added model), Education Law §3012-c requires that teachers' and principals' evaluations be based in part on comparable measures of student learning growth. For these grades/subjects, districts will be required to utilize the Student Learning Objective process (see subsection below for further information on SLOs as well as: <http://engageny.org/wp->

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at: <http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

content/uploads/2012/03/slo-guidance.pdf). Districts will be required to assign 0-20 points to each educator based on the students' results compared to the targets set in the goal-setting process.

D5. When will the percentage of the composite score that is based on the Growth Subcomponent increase from 20 to 25 points?

In school year 2012-13 and beyond, if a value-added scoring methodology has been approved by the Board of Regents for use in a grade/subject, it will be the basis for the teacher or principal's score on the student growth subcomponent and the State will determine the score for each educator based on a 0-25 point scale.

For teachers who have a mix of SLOs and State-provided growth measures, the Growth subcomponent will *not* increase from 20 to 25 points if a value-added scoring methodology has been approved by the Board of Regents. The 25 point score will *only* be applicable to those teachers for whom more than 50% of students are covered by a value-added measure.

For principals, the State-provided growth measures are only applicable if 30% of their students are included in the applicable assessments. Most elementary and middle school principals will therefore be covered by State value-added measures in 2012-13 and all High School principals will be covered when the High School value-added measure is approved by the Board of Regents. The High School Principal value-added measure will be based on student growth in passing Regents exams each year compared to similar students. We expect this approval for the 2012-13 school year. Principals with SLOs will include those with only early-childhood grade configurations (K-3); or principals of programs for Career and Technical Education or programs for students with disabilities where less than 30% of students take the State assessments.

D6. Which subjects besides ELA/mathematics in grades 4-8 will have value-added models and when? Will there be any new State tests because of this?

If resources become available, the State will propose adding new State test sequences in grades 6-8 science and social studies and ELA in grades 9 and 10 to allow for a 3 year sequence in ELA. These new tests, along with the Regents exams that exist in 2010-11, could be the basis of value-added scores. The exact schedule depends on whether and when NYSED determines, with its value-added score provider, that a valid and reliable methodology can be constructed for existing State assessments.

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

D7. What characteristics of students, classrooms, and schools will be considered in constructing the value-added scores for the 2012-2013 school year and beyond?

All of the data necessary to research the impact of the factors suggested by the Regents Task Force (see Table 1) has been provided to the value-added score vendor by NYSED. Other factors may be researched as the work progresses. Policy considerations and empirical results will determine the final specifications of the State's value-added model, which could differ for teachers and principals. The specifics of the recommendations will be determined before the 2012-13 school year begins after consultation with representatives of the Regents Task Force and approval by the Board of Regents.

Table 1. Student Characteristics and Data Elements that may be Utilized for Value-Added Model

<u>Student Characteristics</u>	<u>Other Characteristics</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student State assessment history 	<u>Classroom characteristics</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poverty indicators 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Class size
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disability indicators (disaggregated indicators) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % with each demographic characteristic in a class
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • English language learner indicators (disaggregated indicators) 	<u>School characteristics</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ethnicity/race 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % with each demographic characteristic
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gender 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Average class size
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % daily student attendance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grade configuration
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student suspension data 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retained in grade 	Educator experience level in role
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summer school participation 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student new to school in a non-articulation year 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student age (especially overage for grade) 	

D8. How will you take into account factors like whether students are homeless or living in transitional housing or shelters in the value-added growth scores?

Currently NYSED collects data that may be able to account for whether students are homeless or living in transitional housing or shelter. We have provided the data to our growth/value-added vendor to determine empirically if these characteristics should be factored into value-added scores for teachers and/or principals.

D9. What research does NYSED have that growth and value-added measures should be part of evaluation?

There are many articles and studies that discuss the use of “value-added” or “growth” measures to assess teacher and principal impact on student achievement based on state assessments. Among the places to learn more are the National Comprehensive Center for Teacher Quality (<http://www.tqsource.org/webcasts/evaluateEffectiveness/resources.php>) and The Center for Public Education (<http://www.centerforpubliceducation.org/Main-Menu/Staffingstudents/Building-A-Better-Evaluation-System/References.html>); The Long-term Impacts of Teachers (Chetty, Friedman, Rockoff) http://obs.rc.fas.harvard.edu/chetty/value_added.html and Measures of Effective Teaching research project at <http://www.metproject.org>.

D10. How can we be sure that educators with a high number of students at the highest or lowest ends of the achievement spectrum receive fair results?

NYSED has instructed its provider for the growth and value-added measures that every precaution must be taken to avoid false extreme results for educators (either negative or positive). We have required use of confidence intervals and inclusion of measures of test measurement error. We have also explicitly required that the provider ensure that the highest and lowest scores for student growth go to teachers and principals whose students demonstrated meaningful differences in learning, not small changes that somehow become statistical outliers.

D11. What data are required for a student in grades 4-8 ELA and/or mathematics to have a growth score?

A student must have at least 2 consecutive years of state assessment data in that subject.

D12. Is there a minimum number of students with growth scores required in order for NYSED to calculate a growth score for a teacher/principal?

This document is an excerpt from NYSED’s APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at: <http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

Yes, there will be minimum numbers of students required for a growth score to be generated by the State. The specific number will be determined by NYSED in consultation with the provider of the growth and/or value-added model based on empirical analysis and policy considerations in spring 2012. NYSED recognizes this is of particular importance to school districts with very small elementary grade classes and to self-contained special education classrooms composed of several grade levels of students.

D13. In the case of English Language Learners, the teacher providing instruction is the "common branch" classroom teacher to whom these students are assigned for instruction for all subjects, with the exception of the time that they are "pulled-out" for ESL/ELA instruction by the ESL teacher. Will the common branch teacher receive a State-provided growth score, and if so, will the NYSESLAT be used to generate the score? Will the ESL teacher have SLOs only for the NYSESLAT or will they have a mix of SLOs and State-provided growth measures?

The common branch teacher will have a State-provided growth score if enough students take the ELA/Math State assessments; if not the teacher will have SLOs for ELA or Math (*please see NYSED SLO Guidance Document for further details as to the rules of SLOs: <http://engageny.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/slo-guidance.pdf>*). At this time, the NYSESLAT will not be used to generate the State-provided growth score. NYSED will work with its value-added provider to determine whether and how the NYSESLAT score may be used in a value-added measure for students who are English language learners. Districts may also use the NYSESLAT as the basis of locally-selected measures for classrooms with students who take this assessment.

The ESL teacher may have a State-provided growth score if enough students take the ELA State assessment. If there are not enough students who take the State assessments, then the ESL teacher will have SLOs for ELA, and if more than 10 students take the NYSESLAT, then one SLO will also use the NYSESLAT as evidence of student learning. Or, the district/BOCES may wish to consider having the ESL push-in/pull-out teacher use a school- or BOCES-wide, group, or team results based on State assessments if that is more applicable and/or to collaboratively set goals with those classroom teachers whose students they work with during the school year.

D14. What is the role of NYSAA in determining student growth? What happens in a classroom where some students take the NYSAA, but others take the State ELA and Math assessments?

The State will not be able to generate a State-provided growth measure or VA score for students who take the current NYSAA test and do not take a State assessment with a growth/VA model. If enough students in a teacher's class take

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:
<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

State assessments to generate State-provided measures for the teacher, then the teacher will have a State-provided growth score. However, if there are not enough students to generate these measures, then the teacher is required to set SLOs and the teacher will need to set one of his/her SLOs using the NYSA performance assessment as evidence. Additional SLOs are also set based on the subject area taught. Please see NYSED SLO Guidance Document for further details as to the rules of SLOs for teachers who have students who take the NYSA: <http://engageny.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/slo-guidance.pdf>

Regardless of whether or not children take a State assessment, *all* students' achievement should in some way be represented in a teacher's evaluation score. Districts may also use their choice of different locally-selected measures to hold teachers accountable for these students. The decision of which locally-selected measures to use is subject to collective bargaining. Additionally, classroom observations will look for evidence of practices that engage *all* students in learning.

Student Learning Objectives

Please Note: The New York State Education Department (NYSED) has released [important resources](#) for district leaders as they implement a critical component of the new teacher evaluation system, including the [Student Learning Objective \(SLO\) Guidance Document](#), [Student Learning Objective \(SLO\) Road Map](#), and [a series of introductory webinars](#). These resources explain how New York State will assess the learning growth of students in classrooms where there is no State assessment that can be used for a State-provided growth or value-added measure (sometimes called non-tested subjects).

D15. What is the State-determined district- or BOCES-wide student growth goal-setting process?

The State determined district- or BOCES-wide student growth goal-setting process is the Student Learning Objective (SLO) process. NYSED worked with representatives of the Regents Task Force and other states and districts with experience using student-growth goal-setting processes to prescribe standards and best practices for districts and BOCES to implement the SLO process. Please see: <http://engageny.org/news/student-learning-objectives/> for further information including guidance, webinars, and training resources related to SLOs.

D16. What is NYS' definition of an SLO?

A Student Learning Objective is an academic goal for an educator's students that is set at the start of a course. It represents the most important learning for the

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at: <http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

year (or semester, where applicable). It must be specific and measurable, based on available prior student learning data, and aligned to Common Core, State, or national standards, as well as to any other school and district priorities. Educators' scores are based upon the degree to which their goals were attained.

New York State Student Learning Objectives (SLOs) **must** include the following Basic Elements:

- **Student Population:** which students are being addressed?
 - Each SLO will address all students in the teacher's course (or across multiple course sections) who take the same final assessment.
- **Learning Content:** what is being taught? CCSS/national/State standards? Will specific standards be focused on in this goal or all standards applicable to the course?
- **Interval of Instructional Time:** what is the instructional period covered (if not a year, rationale for semester/quarter/etc)?
- **Evidence:** what assessment(s) or student work product(s) will be used to measure this goal?
- **Baseline:** what is the starting level of learning for students in the class?
- **Target and HEDI Criteria:** what is the expected outcome (target) by the end of the instructional period?
- **HEDI Criteria:** how will evaluators determine what range of student performance "meets" the goal (Effective) versus "well below," (Ineffective), "below" (Developing), and "well above" (Highly Effective). These ranges translate into HEDI categories to determine educators' final rating for the growth subcomponent of evaluations. Districts must set their expectations for the HEDI ratings and scoring: HEDI criteria can be determined at the time of target-setting or districts can choose to let principal judgment apply.
- **Rationale:** why choose this learning content, evidence and target?

D17. Which principals must have SLOs for the growth subcomponent of their evaluations, and how will SLOs be set? Are they set based on every student in the school?

There are two categories of principals in NYS' evaluation system. Principals with 30 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures and principals with 0 – 29% of students covered by State-provided growth measures. Principals with 30 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Principals with 0 – 29% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the other comparable measures subcomponent.

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

The principal's SLOs for growth will be built around school-wide student results, using assessments discussed below, until at least 30% of students are covered. This rule matches the 30% minimum student coverage of state-provided measures for principals. Generally, very few principals will have SLOs. Principals of elementary and middle schools will have value-added measures based on State assessments in grades 4-8 ELA/Math. High School principals will have a value-added measure based on student growth in passing Regents exams each year compared to similar students. Principals who typically will have SLOs are principals of schools with grade configurations of K-3, PK-3, or similar configurations, as well as principals of certain specialized programs and settings such as CTE or students with severe disabilities.

SLOs for principals must use the school-wide student growth results on State assessments for ELA and Mathematics (if available). If the State assessments do not cover at least 30% of students, then additional SLOs must be set beginning with the grade(s)/course(s) that have the largest number of students until at least 30% of students in the principal's school or program are covered. SLOs for these grade(s)/course(s) without State assessments must use school-wide student results from one of the following assessment options: State-approved 3rd party assessments or district-, regional-, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

D18. What will happen if a principal is in a K-4 building, but fewer than 30% of students receive a State-provided growth measure?

These principals will have SLOs built around school-wide student results until at least 30% of students are covered. The SLOs will begin first with the 4th grade results from the State-provided growth measures for ELA and Mathematics. Additional SLOs will then be set based on the 3rd grade ELA and Mathematics State assessment results. If 30% or more of students are now covered by SLOs, then no additional SLOs are necessary. If, however, less than 30% of students are covered by SLOs, then additional SLOs will be set beginning with the grade(s)/course(s) that have the largest number of students using school-wide student results from one of the following assessment options: State-approved 3rd party assessments or district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided the district or BOCES verifies comparability and rigor.

D19. Which teachers will have State-provided growth measures and which teachers must have SLOs as comparable growth measures?

There are two categories of teachers in NYS' evaluation system. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures and teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation.

For teachers who have SLOs, if any course/section has State-provided growth measures, at least one SLO must use it (for example, a teacher with one section of 7th grade Math and 4 sections of 7th grade Science must have an SLO associated with the State-provided growth measure for Math). SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered. If any of the largest courses has a State assessment, but does not have a State-provided growth measure, the State assessment must be used as evidence in the SLO.

D20. How will a teacher’s score on the State Growth or Other Comparable Measures subcomponent be calculated for teachers who teach some “tested” and some “non-tested” subjects?

If less than 50% of a teacher’s total students are covered by a State-provided growth measure then the teacher will have SLOs. Each SLO is weighted proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO, regardless of whether the SLO is based on a State-provided growth measure or not. The State will provide a score for the SLO that uses the State-provided growth measure; however, this score must still be weighted proportionately with the other SLO(s). Please see Example Model 1(B) in the SLO Guidance document: <http://engageny.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/slo-guidance.pdf>.

D21. If we choose an SLO with a school-wide measure for Growth, how might it work in practice for our teachers? Does it have to be based on State assessments or can a group, team, or school- or BOCES-wide measure be based on something else?

A growth SLO using School- or BOCES-wide, group, or team results must be based on State assessments and for the purposes of APPR, group measures can never be based on any other assessment. (Please keep in mind that locally-selected measures have different rules for the use of group, team, or school- or BOCES-wide measures: <http://engageny.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/nys-evaluation-plans-guidance-memo.pdf>).

District and BOCES leaders may decide that all Kindergarten teachers, for example, will have a school-wide measure based on 4-8 Math and ELA assessment results, while all health teachers will have a team measure based on 8th grade ELA and Math assessment results. Please see the SLO Guidance Document for other examples: <http://engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objective-guidance-document/>.

This document is an excerpt from NYSED’s APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at: <http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

Keep in mind that teachers who teach core subjects, which are defined in the regulation as science and social studies in grades 6-8 and high school courses in ELA, math, science and social studies that lead to a Regents examination in the 2010-2011 school year, or a State assessment in the 2012-2013 school year or thereafter may not use SLOs with school- or BOCES-wide, group, or team results.

D22. Are any adjustments allowed in setting SLOs for Growth for any grades and subjects?

All students in the course sections subject to an SLO must be included in the SLO. Students may not be excluded from a course they are enrolled in based on poor attendance (this applies to both State Growth and locally-selected measures).

The only adjustments that a district or BOCES can consider for SLOs for Growth are those also used in State Growth measures, which include students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

The rationale for including adjustment factors and processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the control or adjustment must be described in the district/BOCES' APPR plan. Assurances must be made that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded, and that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any civil rights laws. For any adjustment factors selected, there must also be assurances by the district/BOCES in the APPR agreement that the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being used.

D23. What happens if principals and teachers cannot agree to the terms of the SLO? Does the principal overrule the teacher? Can district leaders dictate that all teachers of the same grade/subject use a common SLO, or a common assessment with differing targets?

District/BOCES leaders must determine district-wide/BOCES-wide processes for setting, reviewing, and assessing SLOs, and for determining teacher ratings for the growth component based on SLOs. These processes include who creates SLOs, who proposes SLOs, what the revision process will look like for SLOs, who has final decisions, etc.

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

In some cases, district and BOCES leaders may develop entire SLOs (or just targets and/or HEDI expectations) for all teachers or certain subject area teachers, and in other instances, district and BOCES leaders may leave the development of the SLO (or just targets and/or HEDI expectations) to principals and/or principals and teachers. In some cases, the district/BOCES may decide that all SLOs must use the same target expectations based on growth progress norms while in other instances the district/BOCES may allow principals and/or teachers to propose a target based on the starting level of the students covered within the SLO. Decisions as to whether all teachers of the same subject will use a common SLO (or whether principals may even dictate this) are allowable; however, these are decisions first to be made by district/BOCES leaders.

Elements of an SLO

Student Population

D24. Within the student population of an SLO, what is the minimum “n” size needed in order to properly validate an SLO score?

There is no minimum n size for SLOs.

D25. What is the date when the 50% rule is set for SLOs? That is, do we count students based on who is there on the first day of school or BEDS day?

The student population for SLOs is set on BEDS day.

D26. Does an SLO have to look at the growth of each individual student or can SLOs just look at the growth of a group of students?

SLOs must look at the growth of individual students. At the end of the instructional period, it is the aggregate growth of all of the individual students in the course section(s) that is used to determine whether or not the target of the SLO was met.

D27. What happens if the enrollment in the course that has a majority of the students at the beginning of the year fluctuates in the middle of the year such that this course no longer comprises a majority of the students? Would the SLO be abandoned and a new SLO be set that reflects the actual enrollment? What if there was an influx of new students to the class?

No, the original SLO would not be abandoned: the teacher would still have the original SLO even if the number of students has changed. If the teacher does not have State-provided growth measures, new arrivals should be included in a new SLO if the previous SLO(s) no longer cover a majority (≥50%) of the students across the course section(s) taught.

This document is an excerpt from NYSED’s APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

Baseline and Evidence

D28. The SLO documents state that SLOs “must be specific and measurable, based on available prior student learning data” – what is meant by prior?

Teachers are encouraged to use a variety of student historical academic data, if available. This historical academic data might include prior course results, or it might only include the current baseline data from the course taken at the beginning of the interval of instruction.

D29. What should teachers use as a pre-assessment for establishing a baseline when students enter a sequence for the very first time and have little to no background information of a subject?

When thinking about setting an SLO for a course where students come in with no knowledge (or little) of the learning content, (for example, the first year of global studies or Spanish 1), it will be important for teachers to see what other courses they can draw on to provide other historical data. Any information about what entering students understand from other subject areas based on academic history in other courses, can give a teacher a wealth of information as to where the students may struggle or thrive. Further, SLOs look at growth, so if students come in knowing very little (as evidenced by the pre-assessment, baseline data) you will still want to know how much they learned of the critical content of the course (as evidenced by the summative assessment) in order to assess whether the teacher added instructional value as expected. The teacher may want to also collect other baseline information (e.g., collect a writing sample) in order to more broadly assess the student's skills that may impact instruction for the year.

D30. If our district has developed an assessment for 8th grade Science, can we have our 8th grade Science teachers set two SLOs for Growth: one SLO that uses the State assessment and one SLO that uses our district developed assessment?

No, the State's rules require all 8th grade Science teachers to use the 8th grade State Science assessment as evidence of student learning within the SLO for Growth. In this instance, the district may want to consider using the district developed assessment within the Locally-Selected subcomponent.

D31. Can district created SLOs include qualitative data, like transitioning from one activity to the next, or only quantitative data?

SLOs must be specific and measurable academic goals for students. Qualitative data, while important, is not included within an SLO. Qualitative data, such as

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

transitioning from one activity to the next, can and should be measured by a rubric within observation of teacher practice.

Interval of Instruction

D32. Does the interval of instruction have to be a year (or even a semester/quarter) for an SLO? Can it be shorter, such as 6 or 10 weeks, if the unit we work on is actually the “major learning of the year” for the course?

An SLO must be set for the entire length of the course. Generally, SLOs will be set for an entire academic year. Please note that SLOs are **not** the same as unit tests or formative assessments used in Data-Driven Instruction. The unit work and formative assessments that educators do throughout the year give critical information regarding student learning to educators and their supervisors; however, this is not the same as an SLO. An SLO is set at the start of the interval of instruction, and the summative assessment that is used at the end of the interval must measure all of the most important learning content of the course. A unit test or formative assessment used in a Data-Driven Instruction cycle would not provide enough information to ascertain whether students learned all of the most important learning content for the course.

Learning Content

D33. Must SLOs focus on all of the standards of a course, or can they focus on a few of the more important standards?

Most SLOs will cover the entire learning content of the course, as measured by the relevant end-of-course assessment. Some learning standards may receive more emphasis than others during the interval of instruction, and in some cases the assessment may focus on certain priority standards.

It is up to the district to determine what the most important learning content is for the course, and there will be instances where each will be correct: The key is to ensure that all students are thoroughly prepared for the next level when they leave a course of study.

SLO Rules for Specific Teachers: What Assessments Must be Used as Evidence?

Elementary School Teachers and Common Branch Teachers

D34. Do all common branch teachers who have State-provided student growth scores for ELA and Math need to also develop SLOs for Social Studies and Science?

No. If a Common Branch teacher receives a State-provided growth measure for

This document is an excerpt from NYSED’s APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

his or her entire Growth subcomponent score, he or she does not have to have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent. Districts and BOCES may, however, wish to cover these other subject areas under the locally-selected measures subcomponent. Please note: If a teacher receives a State-provided growth measure for the entire Growth subcomponent, then the teacher cannot use an SLO for the locally-selected measure (please see the summary of the Regulations: <http://engageny.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/nys-evaluation-plans-guidance-memo.pdf>).

D35. Although teachers in grade 5 are part of the “common elementary branch” it is our practice to assign particular grade 5 teachers to a particular subject area. For example, one of our grade 5 teachers teaches one section of Math and 4 sections of Social Studies. For which courses would he need to develop a SLO? Would he be at all responsible for the ELA scores?

In this scenario, less than 50% of the teacher’s students are covered by a State-provided growth measure so this teacher must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent. Since the teacher has one section of Math and 4 sections of Social Studies, this teacher would set one SLO for his or her Math section, and the State would provide a Student Growth Percentile Model/Value-Added (SGP/VA) score for that SLO (see Example Model 1(B) of the SLO Guidance Document for a similar example: <http://engageny.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/slo-guidance.pdf>). Then, the teacher would have one SLO that would cover the 4 sections of Social Studies (this assumes that the Social Studies sections all use the same end-of-course assessment).

D36. In our district, we only have one teacher for multiple grades of art and one teacher for multiple grades of physical education. Students are combined due to scheduling limitations. How are SLOs set when the SLO seems so grade specific?

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs) are not necessarily grade specific; they are course-specific. Additionally, the NYS Standards in these subject areas in particular are conducive to a teaching set-up that includes multiple grades because the standards are divided generally between elementary, intermediate, and commencement. If the students in the course are given the same learning content and same final assessment, then the SLO should be the same for all. SLOs measure the most important learning content at the beginning and end of the course. You may want to work with your district, region, or BOCES to develop a rubric around the most important learning and measure students on a continuum. The SLO target can be differentiated to recognize that some students are going to start (and end) below others, but all students should show meaningful growth.

High School Teachers and Teachers of Regents Subjects

D37. How can we construct SLOs for Regents courses? What do we use for the baseline, and how do we compare the baseline to final results given the general characteristics of Regents scale scores and how do we handle the fact that not all kids in a class take the Regents?

SLOs for Regents courses need to use the Regents exam results as the evidence of learning for students during the interval of instruction. There are a variety of ways to do this. The first step is to establish a baseline for all students. This can be done using a prior year Regents exam, or a district, regional, or BOCES developed “pre-test,” ideally one that has been shown to predict whether a student is likely to not pass, pass the Regents with a 65+, or pass at the mastery level. The resulting SLO target could be set around the percentage of students expected to pass based on this assessment. The district or BOCES should decide if the percentage predicted from the pre-test equates to “effective” performance or if a different standard should apply. Another way to set a baseline is to utilize student results from earlier State assessments and Regents exams since they too are generally predictive of whether a student will not pass, pass or excel. Note that it is not necessary to measure results on a scale score basis for Regents.

One special note with Regents exams: it is important that districts and BOCES not create incentives to discourage students from taking the Regents (or other advanced courses and assessments). Therefore, an SLO might include an assumption of exam take rates based on historical patterns or district/BOCES expectations. For example, if less than X% takes the Regents exam, the SLO will earn an Ineffective. Example Model 1(a) of the SLO Guidance Document includes an example of a teacher with a Regents section where the target is set based on the percentage taking the assessment.

D38. Can an SLO use a portion of a Regents exam as evidence of student learning? What if there are teachers where a school-wide goal is set using the Regents exam: can just the essay portion be used in the SLO for the group?

For courses that end in a Regents exam, the SLO must use the Regents exam, in its entirety, as evidence of student learning within the SLO. The entire Regents exam must be used whether it is in an individual SLO or a school- or BOCES-wide, group, or team result based on a Regents exam.

D39. For a subject that is associated with a Regents exam, but the district does not teach it as a Regents course (no Regents credit), must the district give the Regents associated with it? For example, we have a district that

This document is an excerpt from NYSED’s APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

teaches non-Regents Physics. Is there any expectation that because of SLOs, the district has to start giving the Physics Regents?

If it is a non-Regents course, then the SLO does not have to use the Regents exam.

D40. Does a 9th grade Global Studies teacher need an SLO? What assessment will be used as evidence if the Regents is not actually taken until 10th grade?

Keep in mind that core subjects are defined in the regulation as science and social studies in grades 6-8 and high school courses in ELA, math, science and social studies that lead to a Regents examination in the 2010-2011 school year, or a State assessment in the 2012-2013 school year or thereafter. Since the Grade 9 Global Studies course does not lead to a State assessment in 2012-13, it is treated as a non-core subject and the following are the options that may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO: a 3rd party assessment from the State-approved list (<http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/assessments/>); district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous or comparable across classrooms; or school- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments.

D41. In the case of a high school science course with a separate lab associated with it, does the teacher have an SLO for the lab and/or the science section?

If the students in the course are the same ones as those in the lab (and the lab is associated with the course) then the teacher will have one SLO to cover the students in the course and the lab.

Non-Grade Specific Teachers

D42. May student learning objectives for teachers of students in Career and Technical Education programs use assessments previously approved by the NYSED as elements of the approved CTE educational program?

No. At this time, student learning objectives for teachers of students in CTE programs may only use one of the following options as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

1. List of State-approved 3rd party assessments (<http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/assessments/>);
2. District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments, provided the district or BOCES verifies comparability and rigor;
3. School- or BOCES-wide, group, or team results based on State assessment.

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at: <http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

NYSED encourages district and BOCES leaders to ask vendors they work with to submit their assessments to the RFQ for 3rd party assessments for potential inclusion on the State-approved list. No 3rd party assessment that is not on the State-approved list may be used for APPR purposes. Assessments previously approved by NYSED as elements of the approved CTE educational program ARE NOT at this point on the State-approved list of 3rd party assessments and are therefore not allowable as a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment.

D43. How are SLOs for Library/Media Specialists established if these teachers do not have regular classes scheduled and only schedule on-demand/teacher-requested basis for specific topics and projects?

Districts/BOCES will need to determine their specific rules around which courses must have SLOs when contact time varies following the State's rules and the general principle of including the courses with the most students first and making practical judgments about how to consider different course meeting schedules like those in this example.

D44. How do we determine the courses requiring an SLO when contact time with students varies greatly among a given teacher's assignments? For example, a music teacher may have a schedule that looks like the following: Band, 125 students, every other day; Music Theory, 75 students, every day; General Music, 180 students but each section only meets one day in a six day cycle.

Districts/BOCES will need to determine their specific rules and requirements around which courses must have SLOs when contact time varies following the State's rules and the general principle of including the courses with the most students first and making practical judgments about how to consider different course meeting schedules like those in this example. We suggest that teachers have SLOs based on courses that meet most often and are the longest in length. Districts/BOCES can then create a proportion and this will show which courses need to have SLOs. In the scenario above, for example, if the class periods are 40 minutes, then seeing 75 students for 200 minutes each week is much more time than seeing 125 students for 120 minutes each week. The general music that only meets one day in a 6 day cycle would not have an SLO.

Special Education Teachers

D45. Do co-teachers have to have the same SLO for the State growth subcomponent? Can they have similar SLOs that focus on the students they spend the most time with each day?

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

Co-teachers must have the same growth measure for the State growth or other comparable measures subcomponent. The measure, for both teachers, will cover all of the students in the course section(s) covered by the SLO.

D46. How would a special educator define his or her classroom population for the SLO in a resource room or consultant model? If a resource room teacher does not focus on any particular subject area, what should he or she use as an SLO? What about AIS teachers, who often see many different populations of students based on need?

All students that are assigned to the teacher as teacher of record are the students that a resource room teacher or consultant teacher will include in his or her SLO. Please see NYSED SLO Guidance Document for further details as to the rules of SLOs for teachers such as those you mention here:

<http://usny.nysed.gov/rtt/teachers-leaders/slo/slo-guidance.pdf>

AIS teachers may eventually have State-provided growth scores, depending on teacher of record rules for dosage; until then, AIS teachers will have SLOs. If AIS teachers see many different students throughout the school year with varied consistency, it may be more appropriate for these teachers to have a school- or BOCES-wide, group, or team measure based on State assessments and/or to collaboratively set goals with those classroom teachers whose students they work with during the school year. District/BOCES leaders will need to determine the option that is most appropriate for these teachers.

Similarly, if there is no specific subject area focus for a resource room teacher, District/BOCES leaders may wish to consider using a SLO based on school- or BOCES-wide, group, or team results based on State assessments and/or to collaboratively set goals with those classroom teachers whose students they work with during the school year. If, however, the resource room teacher focuses on literacy skills and/or other content-area-specific skills, then SLOs should be set for the relevant subject area.

D47. Can SLOs for students with disabilities have a different target for growth?

The target for students in any SLO may be differentiated because of the baseline (starting point of learning) and historical academic data. It is important to keep in mind that targets for all students, regardless of any special education classification, should be differentiated because of baseline data and not because of any special education classification.

D48. For special education teachers who have SLOs and also have students with individualized education plans, will the criteria for student learning set forth in the IEPs be used in the SLO?

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

Teachers who have SLOs must follow the rules set forth in the State's Guidance: <http://engageny.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/slo-guidance.pdf>. District/BOCES leaders may determine that in certain circumstances academic goals in an IEP may be used as appropriate targets within an SLO.

The student learning objective must be specific and measurable, and compare learning data at the start and end of the course. SLOs must also be aligned to learning standards (Common Core Standards, NYS Learning Standards, or National Standards) which means that only academic goals contained in approved IEPs could ever become the basis of student learning objectives. For example, an IEP goal for literacy could be used; an IEP goal relating to occupational therapy could not be used.

D49. Some of our special education teachers in our BOCES have students that are bussed in each day from numerous districts. How will teachers set their SLO in this situation?

SLOs are course- and teacher-specific. The "sending" district of the students is not relevant for this purpose. Each BOCES teacher will set SLOs for his or her largest courses until at least 50% of students are covered, regardless of where the students come from. Other SLO rules may be established by the BOCES for their teachers.

E. Locally-selected Measures of Student Achievement

Use of State Assessments and Student Learning Objectives Within Locally-selected Measures

E1. Do the regulations require that 40% of a teacher's or principal's evaluation be based on State assessments?

No. The regulations do not require that 40% of a teacher's or principal's evaluation be based on State assessments.

Education Law §3012-c requires that 20% of a teacher's or principal's evaluation (increases to 25% with a Regents-approved value-added model) be based on student growth on State assessments or other comparable measures. The statute also requires that 20% be based on other locally-selected measures of student achievement (decreases to 15% with the approved value-added model). The law and regulations provide several local options for the 20% based on locally-selected measures of student achievement, including the use of State assessments.

However, the law requires districts and BOCES to ensure that the measures used for the locally-selected measures subcomponent are different from the measure used for the Growth subcomponent. The choice of whether to use State assessments for the locally-selected measures subcomponent of the evaluation is a decision determined through collective bargaining.

E2. What are the ways in which State assessments may be used for purposes of a locally developed measures subcomponent? When will the information be available from the State to use these measures?

If a District agrees in collective bargaining to use State assessments, Regents exams or Regent equivalents for its locally-selected measure(s), the following options are allowable:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments).
- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally.
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause.

When the State provides student-level State test results to districts, information about the first and second items above will be available to districts.

The State will provide teacher and principal growth scores to districts as soon as possible after student-level State test results are released. These results will

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

include information about the percentage of students who achieve a State-determined level of growth as well as growth information the district may need to construct “other” different measures as determined locally.

E3. Can SLOs be used as a locally-selected measure for all teachers?

No. SLOs cannot be used within the locally-selected measures subcomponent for a teacher in any grade or subject where there is a growth or value-added model approved by the Board of Regents at that grade level or in that subject.

E4. Can a teacher's scores from his/her SLO under Growth be used for his/her local 20% measure as well? That is, can the SLO scores cover 40% of the evaluation?

No, measures used for the locally-selected measures subcomponent must be different from the growth measures used in the growth subcomponent.

However, the locally-selected measure may be based on the same state assessment, State-approved 3rd party assessment, or district/BOCES-developed assessment as the SLO used for the State growth or other comparable measures subcomponent as long as a different measure of growth is used for each subcomponent.

Therefore, if districts use SLOs as a locally-selected measure for teachers who do not have a State-provided growth measure, the SLO must measure something different from the teacher’s SLOs used as comparable growth measures. This would include, but not be limited to, measuring results from different courses or students, using different assessments and/or using a different measures on the same assessment (achievement instead of growth or a subgroup of students, for example).

Please note: districts and BOCES have a number of options for measuring achievement and/or growth within the locally-selected measures (see: <http://engageny.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/nys-evaluation-plans-guidance-memo.pdf>). SLOs are one of the options available for locally-selected measures of student achievement for a teacher who does not have a State-provided growth or value-added measure for the subject or grade he or she is teaching.

Comparability

E5. In our small district, we only have one teacher per grade/subject. Are the locally-selected measures we collectively bargain “comparable across classrooms” if we only have one classroom?

This document is an excerpt from NYSED’s APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at: <http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

Yes. The use of a locally-selected measure from the allowable list of options would be considered “comparable” across classrooms even if you only have one classroom in that grade/subject.

Adjustments and Controls

E6. Can districts or BOCES use student-growth percentile or value-added statistical methodologies to calculate growth in connection with assessments used for the locally-selected measures subcomponent?

This is a local decision. Districts may choose to use locally-developed student growth percentile or value-added methodologies in assigning evaluation points based on assessments other than the State assessments for the locally-selected measures subcomponent if the district or BOCES has the capacity to ensure that the assessments they have selected are suitable for these kinds of measures.

E7. Are any adjustments allowed (for example, for student demographic characteristics, attendance, etc) in setting targets or measuring results as part of locally-selected measures for any grades and subjects?

The rationale for including adjustment factors and processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the control or adjustment must be described in the district/BOCES’ APPR plan. Assurances must be made that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded, and that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented student subgroups in accordance with civil rights laws. For any adjustment factors selected, there must also be assurances by the district/BOCES in the APPR agreement that the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being used.

It is important to note that research shows that by far the best predictor of a student’s result on an assessment is his or her prior academic history. Therefore, districts and their collective bargaining agents may want to put the strongest emphasis on how to construct locally-selected measures that use growth from baselines based on past academic history.

F. 3rd Party and District, Regional, or BOCES Developed Assessments for Growth and Locally-selected

Rigor and Comparability

- F1. Please define “rigorous and comparable” in the context of locally developed district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments and for SLOs?**

Rigorous means that the locally-selected measure is aligned to the New York State learning standards or, in instances where there are no such learning standards that apply to a subject/grade level, evidence of alignment to research-based learning standards and, to the extent practicable, the assessment must be valid and reliable as defined by the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.

Locally-comparable across classrooms means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth are used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES. A district may use more than one type of locally-selected measure for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject if the district/BOCES verifies comparability in accordance with the Standards of Educational and Psychological testing. For principals, the same locally-selected measure(s) must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration in that school district or BOCES.

- F2. If a district or BOCES develops assessments, what are best practices for determining the rigor?**

Rigor can be established by assuring that the assessments are appropriate to the grade level and subject for which the assessment will be used and that assessments are aligned to the New York State Learning Standards, including the Common Core Standards, as appropriate, or in instances where there are no such standards that apply to a subject/grade level, evidence of alignment to research-based learning standards. Assessments must be valid and reliable as defined by the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing, to the extent practicable. NYSED encourages districts and BOCES to look to their professional associations or other assessment experts for assistance.

District, Regional, BOCES Developed Assessments

- F3. If a district or BOCES develops its own assessments, do the assessments have to be reviewed by the state for inclusion on the Approved Assessment List?**

This document is an excerpt from NYSED’s APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

No, district-, regional-, or BOCES-developed assessments will not be reviewed by the State. Instead, a district or BOCES that develops its own assessments will be required to include in its APPR plan a certification that the district- or BOCES-developed assessment is rigorous and comparable across classrooms, in accordance with the Commissioner's Regulations.

F4. If districts hired a provider who currently provides commercially available assessments on the State's 3rd party list, but asks the provider to develop new assessments for the district or BOCES, do those assessments have to be submitted for inclusion in the state *Approved Assessment List*?

No, if a school district or BOCES contracts with a third-party provider to develop a new assessment for the district or BOCES, this would be considered a district- or BOCES-developed assessment. Therefore, a district/BOCES could use the assessment for the locally-selected measures subcomponent or for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent to the extent permitted by the regulation. The district/BOCES would need to provide assurances, in its APPR plan, that the district- or BOCES-developed assessment is rigorous and comparable across classrooms in accordance with the Commissioner's Regulations.

F5. We want to use locally-developed performance tasks for a variety of grades and subjects that would be assessed using a rubric. Is that allowable?

Subject to local negotiation, locally-developed performance tasks scored by a rubric could be used as a district, regional, or BOCES developed assessment wherever locally-developed assessments are allowed as either a comparable growth measure or a locally-selected measure provided that such assessments are rigorous and comparable as described above.

F6. Can we use the assessments we have developed for Data-Driven Instruction (DDI) cycles as our district developed assessments for use with SLOs?

Assessments developed for, and used for, DDI are intended to provide formative information to teachers and schools for instructional decision-making. Assessments used in SLOs will provide summative information that will be incorporated into teacher and principal evaluations. Typically, therefore, the assessments will be different for these purposes and will be administered and scored under different conditions. It should be noted that both forms of assessment can and often do co-exist in any instructional program.

State-Approved 3rd Party Assessments

F7. What is the process and timeline for the Department to review and approve 3rd-party-developed assessments for use in teacher and principal evaluation?

The Department issues a Request for Qualification (RFQ) for Student Assessments to be used by New York State Districts and BOCES for a portion of Teachers' and Principals' Evaluations at least yearly, soliciting applications for assessments that will be used as measures of student achievement or growth (<http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/rfq/assessment.html>). Submitted assessments that meet the criteria in the Commissioner's regulations and the RFQ are periodically added to the State's *Approved Assessment List* at <http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/assessments/>, with the most recent update occurring on April 4, 2012.

Another RFQ will be issued in late Spring 2012 in order to update the list prior to the 2012-13 school year. The Department will update the *Approved Assessment List* at least annually.

Before selecting an assessment from the State-approved list, we urge districts/BOCES to contact providers directly before negotiating an assessment from the State-approved list to determine what the exact costs are and any possible restrictions on use.

F8. Are the assessments on the State's approved 3rd party list aligned with the NY State learning standards, including the Common Core?

Assessments included on the *Approved Assessment List* are required by regulation to be aligned to the New York State Learning Standards or, in instances where there are no such standards that apply to a subject/grade level, evidence of alignment to research-based learning standards. Beginning in the 2012-13 school year, student achievement expectations in ELA and mathematics for grades 3-8 will be based on the New York State P-12 Common Core Learning Standards for English Language Arts & Literacy and Mathematics. Prior to the 2012-13 school year, assessments in ELA and mathematics for grades 3-8 had to be aligned to the 2005 New York State Learning Standards for ELA and mathematics. Vendors who have assessments on the Department's *Approved Assessment List* for school year 2011-12 were required in early 2012 to submit alignment charts (if they had not done so already) that show the assessment is aligned to the standards that are in effect for the applicable grade/subject for the 2012-13 school year (including the Common Core for ELA and Mathematics grades 3-8). These alignment charts for ELA and Mathematics assessments for

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

grades 3-8 are all located in the “Service Summary” PDFs on the Assessment RFQ website: <http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/assessments/>. The Department’s *Approved Assessment List* will be updated annually to reflect each assessment’s status regarding alignment with the P-12 Common Core Learning Standards.

F9. Is there a variance process to use a 3rd party assessment that is not on the Approved Assessment List?

There is no variance process in place to use a non-Approved 3rd party assessment for the purposes of APPR. If a district or BOCES would like to use a 3rd party assessment that is not on the *Approved Assessment List*, please contact the vendor and ask that they apply during our next RFQ review period. A 3rd party assessment that is not on the SED approved list may not be used for the purposes of APPR even if a District is willing to certify to its comparability and rigor.

F10. If a third party assessment is used as part of an SLO is there a required number of times that it must be administered?

All SLOs must measure two points in time for the same students; the SLO must have a baseline (starting point) and a final, summative rating. Please check with your assessment provider for specifics as to how the vendor’s assessment should be administered.

F11. If an approved 3rd party assessment program also has interim assessments built into it, can the interim assessment data be used for SLOs?

No. Interim assessments are not the same as the SLO; interim assessments are part of good instructional practice. The SLO will use the 3rd party assessment as evidence of student learning during the interval of instruction time for purposes of the SLO.

I. Scoring and Rating of Evaluations

Scoring Bands and HEDI Ratings

I1. How is each teacher and principal rated? What is “HEDI”?

Each classroom teacher and building principal must receive an overall rating of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective (HEDI) based on a single composite effectiveness score that is calculated based on the scores received by the teacher or principal in each of the three subcomponents.

This document is an excerpt from NYSED’s APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

12. How are points assigned to each subcomponent of the evaluation? How are the scoring bands determined?

The law requires that the superintendent, the district superintendent or chancellor (in the case of NYC), and the president of the collective bargaining representative (where one exists) certify in its APPR plan that its process will use the narrative descriptions for the rating categories to effectively differentiate educators' performance in each of the subcomponents and the overall rating categories to improve student learning and instruction.

Table 2A. Educator Evaluation Rating Categories

Standards for Rating Categories	Growth or Comparable Measures	Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement	Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)
Highly Effective	Results are well-above state average for similar students (or district goals if no state test).	Results are well-above District or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject.	Overall performance and results exceed standards.
Effective	Results meet state average for similar students (or district goals if no state test).	Results meet District or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject.	Overall performance and results meet standards.
Developing	Results are below state average for similar students (or district goals if no state test).	Results are below District or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject.	Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.
Ineffective	Results are well-below state average for similar students (or district goals if no state test).	Results are well-below District or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject.	Overall performance and results do not meet standards.

In addition to the text-based standards for the rating categories above, the State establishes scoring ranges (scoring bands) for the HEDI rating categories for the overall composite rating, the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent, and the locally-selected measures subcomponent. The scoring ranges for the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent shall be established locally through negotiations conducted under Article XIV of the Civil Service Law. The following scoring bands will apply:

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

Table 2-A. Subcomponent and composite scoring ranges for SY 2011-12, and thereafter for educators for whom there is no approved value-added measure of student growth

2011-12 and 2012-13 where No Value-added growth measure	Growth or Comparable Measures	Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement	Other Measures of Effectiveness (60 points)	Overall Composite Score
Highly Effective	18-20	18-20	Ranges determined locally	91-100
Effective	9-17	9-17		75-90
Developing	3-8	3-8		65-74
Ineffective	0-2	0-2		0-64

Table 2-B. Subcomponent and composite scoring ranges for SY 2012-13 for educators for whom there is an approved value-added model for student growth

2012-13 where Value-added growth measure applies	Growth or Comparable Measures	Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement	Other Measures of Effectiveness (60 points)	Overall Composite Score
Highly Effective	22-25	14-15	Ranges determined locally	91-100
Effective	10-21	8-13		75-90
Developing	3-9	3-7		65-74
Ineffective	0-2	0-2		0-64

For the 2013-2014 school year and thereafter, the Commissioner will review the scoring ranges annually before the start of each school year and recommend any changes to the Board of Regents.

The State determines the process for assigning points to educators for the State Growth or Other Comparable Measures Subcomponent. Districts must determine the points assigned to educators with Student Learning Objectives in this subcomponent, following State guidance.

The following elements are locally determined through negotiations:

- The process by which points are assigned in subcomponents and the scoring ranges for the subcomponents must be transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year.
- The assignment of points in each subcomponent must ensure it is possible for an educator to obtain any of the available points (including 0) in the subcomponents.

13. If districts are given the autonomy to determine the point allocation for the locally-selected measures and the other 60 points, how does the State plan to explain comparisons that will inevitably result?

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

Some of the elements of the evaluation system are determined by the State, but the statute and regulation provide districts and BOCES with flexibility in other areas, subject to collective bargaining to the extent required by law or regulations. NYSED will conduct ongoing monitoring and reporting to analyze trends and patterns in evaluation results to identify districts whose evaluation results appear to have low correlation results with other evidence of student learning. NYSED may require corrective action if a District's implementation appears to be insufficiently rigorous.

Adjustments, Controls, and Final Distribution of Educator Scores

14. Will NYSED provide guidance on whether to take into account teacher experience in a teacher's evaluation?

SED does not expect to consider educator experience level in calculating teacher or principal growth or value-added scores and recommends that districts not do so either for locally-selected measures of student achievement or the other 60 point measures.

While it is true that teachers tend to have worse results in their first year and improve rapidly in their early-career years, the overall evaluation rating should reflect an educator's performance on an absolute scale. Feedback and development, however, should be targeted to the needs of the educator and will likely differ based on career stage. An early-career teacher rated Developing needs different support than a seasoned teacher whose results have not yet reached the Effective level or who has fallen from it.

15. Will teachers and principals be rated based on a "curve" (i.e., will the State require a fixed percentage of educators to receive each of the four HEDI ratings)?

No. While the State will assign points to an educator who has a State-provided growth measure(s), districts are responsible for assigning points for all other parts of a teacher or principal's evaluation consistent with the requirements in the law and regulations. The State is not requiring a district or BOCES to have a fixed percentage of educators in each of the overall HEDI categories.

Scoring: Growth on State Assessments and Comparable Measures

16. Will common branch teachers receive two scores, one each for ELA and mathematics?

Common branch teachers will receive a growth or value-added result for ELA and another one for mathematics. NYSED, through its vendor, will combine these

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

scores into a single measure to determine a HEDI rating and a State-provided growth score for this subcomponent of the educator's evaluation.

- 17. How are weights determined when there is more than one score being collected for SLOs? Do the SLOs have to be proportionate or can one be weighted more heavily than the others?**

Each SLO must be weighted proportionately based on the number of students included in both SLOs. The scores from the two SLOs will combine into one overall growth component score (0-20 points). Please see:

<http://engageny.org/news/student-learning-objectives/> for the SLO guidance document, road map, webinar series, and other tools and resources.

- 18. For teachers with a mix of sections/courses with/ without State-Provided Growth measures the guidance states “if <50% covered by SGP/VA, then a mix of SGP/VA and SLOs will be used.” How will the growth subcomponent score be determined?**

For educators who have multiple SLOs, the SLOs are weighted proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO. The State will provide a score for the SLO that uses the State-provided growth measure; however, this score will then be weighted proportionately with the scores from the other SLO(s) in order to determine one overall HEDI score for the educator. Please see Example Model 1(B) in the SLO Guidance document: <http://engageny.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/slo-guidance.pdf>

Scoring: Locally-selected Measures

- 19. How will the locally-selected measures be converted into a point system?**

The statute and regulations provide guidance for scoring the locally-selected measures by way of the text descriptions provided for each of the four rating categories for this subcomponent. However, districts must determine the process for assigning points to educators for this subcomponent of the evaluation, within the scoring ranges and text descriptions provided by the Commissioner for each rating category for this subcomponent. The assignment of points for the locally-selected measures subcomponent is subject to collective bargaining.

Scoring: 60 Points

- 110. Can you provide some concrete examples of scoring for the 60 points?**

NYSED has provided guidance for scoring the 60 points attributed to other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness by way of the text descriptions of the four levels of performance (see I2 above). Districts must determine locally the

This document is an excerpt from NYSED's APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

details of their approach to assigning 0-60 points to educators for this subcomponent of the evaluation, within the scoring ranges and text descriptions for each rating category for this subcomponent, as prescribed in §30-2.6 of the Commissioner's regulations.

Here is one of many possible examples of an approach to negotiating procedures for assigning points around 60 point “other measures” that could help others think about how to reach their own policy goals.

1. The district negotiates procedures for conducting and scoring classroom observations and assessing other aspects of the rubric.
2. The district also negotiates the level of performance against the rubric that “meets standards” (for Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards) and the other HEDI categories (for Highly Effective: Overall performance exceeds standards; for Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards; for Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.).
3. Based on all the evidence gathered, a “rubric score” and its corresponding HEDI rating category is determined for each educator.
4. The rubric score is then converted into a score on a scale of 0-60 according to the 60 point scoring bands negotiated by the district.
5. The chart below illustrates one potential result:

Overall Rubric Score (Must be negotiated)	Rating Category	0-60 point distribution by rating category (must be negotiated)
1-1.8	Ineffective	0-49
1.9-2.8	Developing	50-56
2.9-3.6	Effective	57-58
3.7-4.0	Highly Effective	59-60

I11. How will the teacher evaluation rubric be converted into a point system?

The process by which points are assigned and the scoring range is determined locally and must be transparent and provided in advance to those who will be rated. Each district and BOCES must describe its process for assigning the other 60 points in its APPR plan, which must be published on its web site.

The assignment of points in each subcomponent must ensure it is possible for an educator to obtain any of the available points (including 0) in the subcomponents.

Districts and collective bargaining units must certify that the process for assigning points will use the narrative descriptions in the regulations to effectively

This document is an excerpt from NYSED’s APPR Guidance. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.

Timing for Evaluations

I12. What is the timing for completing evaluations and providing them to teachers and principals? When will educator scores based on state tests be available, and how does that relate to evaluation timing?

Each teacher's and principal's score and rating on the Locally-selected Measures subcomponent (if available) and on the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent must be computed and provided to the teacher or principal in writing no later than the last day of the school year.

The entire evaluation must be completed and provided to each teacher and principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured.

SED will provide the scores for the growth measures component of each educator's evaluation in July 2012, or as soon as possible after the State student assessment results are available. All information will be transmitted electronically via secure protocol to the districts. Upon receipt of such scores, districts must then determine the final composite score for each teacher and/or principal in accordance with the Commissioner's regulations.

New York State Teacher and Principal Evaluation

2012-13 and beyond

Summary of regulations adopted by Board of Regents on March 30, 2012 to implement Education Law 3012-c, as amended by Chapter 21 of the Laws of 2012 (S.6732/A.9554)

Student Achievement Measures: Teachers 2012-13 and beyond		
	ELA/Math 4-8	All Other Classroom Teachers
Growth on State Assessments 20 points (25 points with approved Value-Added measure)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> State-provided student scores comparing student growth to those with similar past test scores and which may include consideration of poverty, ELL, SWD status Value-Added measure with additional controls when approved, which can be no earlier than 2012-2013 Policies on Teacher of Record and linked students 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional grades/subjects covered by growth/Value-Added scores, as measures become available, based on existing and new (if resources are available) State assessments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All Math Regents PARCC as available If approved: 6-8 science, social studies, 9-10 ELA and related Regents If approved: progress monitoring in K-3 English Language Arts, Math
Growth Using Comparable Measure 20 points (when there is no State assessment with an approved growth/Value-Added measure)	N/A	<p>For all applicable grades/subjects: State-determined district-wide student growth goal-setting process (Student Learning Objectives) used with:</p> <p>For core subjects: 6-8 Science and Social Studies, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> State assessment if one exists (or Regents exam or Regent equivalents) <i>If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:</i> District-determined assessment from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that the District or BOCES verifies comparability and rigor <p>For other grades/subjects: District-determined assessments from options below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> State assessment List of State-approved 3rd party assessments District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that the District or BOCES verifies comparability and rigor School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

This document is an excerpt from the Purple Memo. The full version can be found at:

<http://engageny.org/effective-practice/>

Student Achievement Measures: Teachers (Continued)	
Locally-selected Measures of Student Achievement 20 points (15 points with approved Value-Added measure)	<p>Locally comparable means: The same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth across all classrooms in same grade/subject in District or BOCES.</p> <p>Districts may use more than one type of locally-selected measure for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject if districts/BOCES prove comparability based on standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.</p> <p>Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options. <i>Locally-selected and points assigned to teachers in manner determined locally, through collective bargaining, using regulatory standards and scoring bands.</i> Measures based on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> State assessments, Regents examination and/or Regent-equivalent assessments provided that they are <u>different than</u> the measure used for the Growth subcomponent above. These include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teacher-specific change in percentage of students who achieve a specified level of performance on State assessments (<i>e.g. 3% point increase in number of students earning the proficient level 3 or better on the 7th grade State Math test compared to those same students' performance on the 6th grade State Math test</i>) Teacher-specific growth computed by the State based on percentage of students who achieve a State-determined level of growth (<i>e.g. percentage of students whose growth is at least average for similar students</i>) Other teacher-specific growth or achievement measure using State assessments, Regents examinations and/or department approved alternative examinations computed in a manner determined locally State-approved list of 3rd party assessments District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that the District or BOCES verifies comparability and rigor vs. Testing Standards to the extent practicable. School-wide growth or achievement results based on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> State-provided school-wide growth score for all students in a school taking the State ELA or Math assessment in grades 4-8. Locally-computed measure based on State assessment, State approved 3rd party assessment or a District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment for which the district or BOCES verifies comparability and rigor. Student Learning Objectives (<i>if teachers do not have State-provided growth or Value-Added measures for Growth subcomponent</i>): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Used with any State, State-approved 3rd party, or District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment, provided that the District or BOCES verifies comparability and rigor. These measures must be <u>different than</u> the measures used with Student Learning Objectives as a Comparable Growth measure in the Growth Subcomponent.

**Student Achievement Measures
Principals 2012-13 and beyond**

Growth on State Assessments	Elementary/Middle	High Schools
20 points (25 points with approved Value-Added measure)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Result of student growth/Value-Added measure as applied to State assessments in 4-8, ELA/MathAdd grades and/or subjects as growth/Value-Added measure applies	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Result of principal student growth percentile/Value-Added measure as applied to State assessments and/or graduation ratesAdd subjects as growth/Value-Added measure applies
Other Comparable measures If principal is not covered by a State-provided growth or Value-Added measure	State-determined district-wide student growth goal setting process (Student Learning Objectives) with one of the following assessment options: <ul style="list-style-type: none">State assessmentList of State-approved 3rd party assessmentsDistrict, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that the District or BOCES verifies comparability and rigor	
Locally-Selected measures of Student Achievement 20 points (15 points after Value-Added measure is approved)	Comparable means the same locally-selected measures used for all principals in same or similar programs or grade configuration across District or BOCES.	
	Locally-selected and points assigned to principals in manner determined locally, through collective bargaining, using regulatory standards and scoring bands.	
	Growth or achievement measures from these options <i>(must be different than measures used for growth subcomponent)</i> : <ul style="list-style-type: none">Achievement levels on state tests (% proficient or advanced) in ELA and math grades 4 to 8Growth or achievement for student subgroups (SWD, ELL) on State Assessments in ELA and math grades 4 to 8Growth or achievement of students in ELA and math grades 4 to 8 at each specific performance level (e.g. level 1, level 2) on State or other assessmentsStudent learning objectives (if principals do not have State-provided growth or Value-Added measures for growth subcomponent) used with any State assessment or an approved student assessment or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classroomsStudent performance on any District-wide locally-selected assessments approved for use in teacher evaluations	Growth or achievement measures from these options <i>(must be different than measures used for growth subcomponent)</i> : <ul style="list-style-type: none">Percent of cohort achieving specified scores on Regents exams or other Regents-equivalentsGraduation rates (4,5,6 years) and/or drop-out ratesGraduation % with Advanced designation and/or honorsCredit accumulation (e.g. 9th and 10th grade) or other strong predictor of progress toward graduationStudent learning objectives (if principals do not have State-provided growth or Value-Added measures for growth subcomponent) used with any State assessment or an approved student assessment or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classroomsStudent performance on any District-wide locally-selected assessments approved for use in teacher evaluations

Step 3: Identify who will have State-provided growth measures and who must have Student Learning Objectives (SLOs) as comparable growth measures (20-25 points).

Are 50-100% of students in the teacher's course/section covered by a State-provided growth measure?

No

Yes

These teachers will receive a growth score from the State for the full 20% (increasing to 25% after a Value-Added model is approved).

These teachers must have SLOs (20%).

1. If any course/section has State-provided growth measures, at least 1 SLO MUST use it.
2. SLOs MUST cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.
3. If any of the largest courses have a State/Regents assessment (but do not have State-provided growth measures), the State/Regents assessment MUST be used as evidence in the SLO.

Keep in Mind:

- Grades 6-7 Science and 6-8 Social Studies must use one of the following assessment options: State-approved 3rd party; district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment.
- Subjects that do not end in a State/Regents assessment and/or are not 6-7 Science and/or 6-8 Social Studies must use one of the following assessment options: State approved 3rd party; district, regional or BOCES – developed assessment; school- or BOCES-wide, group, or team results based on State assessments.
- District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments are allowable provided that the District or BOCES verifies comparability and rigor.
- Please see: <http://engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives/> for materials related to SLOs.

Step 1:
Pick a rubric

Step 2:
Agree on 60 point measures

Step 3:
State-provided growth or SLO?

Step 4:
Pick local student achievement measures

Step 3: Identify who will have State-provided growth measures and who must have Student Learning Objectives (SLOs) as comparable growth measures.

Are at least 30% of students in the principal's school or program being taught ELA and/or Math in grades 4-8 and/or taking State/Regents assessments if high school level?

No

Yes


These principals will receive a growth score from the State for the full 20% (increasing to 25% after a Value-Added measure is approved).

These principals must have SLOs (20%) with school-level results from the following options:

1. State assessment (or Regents equivalents), if one exists.
2. List of State-approved 3rd party assessments.
3. District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that the District or BOCES verifies comparability and rigor.

Keep in Mind:

- Most principals will have State-provided Growth measures. Principals with SLOs will typically include those with early-childhood configurations (PK-3 or similar); principal of programs for Career and Technical Education or programs for students with disabilities where less than 30% of students take the State assessments.
- Please see: <http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/fieldguidance.pdf> for further details.



Step 4: Select local measures of student achievement for all grades/subjects (15-20 points).

Does the district already have a comparable assessment measure of student achievement or growth that is currently in use across all classrooms in the same grade/subject it wishes to use?

No

Yes

You're done with Step 3 for these grades/subjects. Local measures will count for 20% (for applicable teachers, this will decrease to 15% after a Value-Added measure is approved).

Keep in Mind:

Text here

- Districts should select the same measure of student growth or achievement across all classrooms in the same grade/subject to ensure comparability.
- The State-approved list meets prescribed criteria for comparability and rigor; districts/BOCES who develop assessments will need to verify comparability and rigor.
- Districts may use more than one type of measure within a grade/subject if they prove comparability.
- Measures selected for local must be different than the measures used for the Growth subcomponent.
- Please see: <http://engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives/> for materials related to SLOs.
- Collective bargaining considerations.

Choose one of the following options that ensures comparability and rigor:

1. Measures based on State assessments, Regents, examination, and/or Regent-equivalents. These include:
 - The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations in the previous year.
 - Teacher-specific growth computed by NYSED based on % of the teacher's students earning a State-determined level of growth. Methodology to translate such growth into State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally.
 - Other teacher-specific growth or achievement measure using State, Regents, and/or department approved alternative examinations computed in a manner determined locally.
2. State-approved list of 3rd party assessments
3. District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment
4. School-wide growth or achievement results based on:
 - State-provided school-wide growth score for all students taking State ELA or Math assessments in grades 4-8
 - Locally-computed measure based on State, State approved 3rd party, or a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment
5. SLOs with any State, approved 3rd party, or district/regional/BOCES-developed assessment (*option is only for teachers without a State-approved Growth or Value-Added measure for Growth subcomponent*)

Step 4a: Select local measures of student achievement for all principals in same or similar programs or grade configuration (elementary/middle schools) across District or BOCES.

Does the District already have a comparable assessment measure of student achievement or growth that is currently in use across all programs or grade configurations in the District or BOCES that it wishes to use?

No

Yes


You're done with Step 3. Local measures will count for 20% (for applicable principals, this will decrease to 15% after a Value-Added measure is approved).

Choose one of the following options that ensures comparability and rigor:

1. Achievement levels on State assessments (% proficient or advanced) in ELA and Math Grades 4-8.
2. Growth or achievement for student subgroups (SWD, ELL) on State assessments in ELA and Math Grades 4-8.
3. Growth or achievement of students in ELA and Math (Grades 4-8) starting at specific performance levels (e.g., Level 1, Level 2) on State or other assessments.
4. SLOs (if principals do not have a State approved growth or Value-Added measure for Growth subcomponent) used with any State, approved 3rd party, or district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.
5. Student performance on any District-wide locally-selected assessments approved for use in teacher evaluations.

Keep in Mind:

- Measures selected for local must be different than the measures used for the Growth subcomponent.
- Please see: <http://engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives/> for materials related to SLOs.
- Collective bargaining considerations.



Step 4b: Select local measures of student achievement for all principals in same or similar programs or grade configuration (high schools) across District or BOCES.

Does the District already have a comparable assessment measure of student achievement or growth that is currently in use across all programs or grade configurations in the District or BOCES that it wishes to use?

No

Yes

You're done with Step 3. Local measures will count for 20% (for applicable principals, this will decrease to 15% after a Value-Added measure is approved).

Choose one of the following options that ensures comparability and rigor:

1. Percent of cohort achieving specified scores on Regents exams, AP, IB, or other Regents-equivalents.
2. Graduation rates (4, 5, 6 years) and/or dropout rates.
3. Graduation % with Advanced Regents designation and/or honors.
4. Credit accumulation (e.g., 9th and 10th grade) or other strong predictor of progress toward graduation.
5. SLOs (if principals do not have a State provided growth measure for Growth subcomponent) used with any State, approved 3rd party, or district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.
6. Student performance on any District-wide locally-selected assessments approved for use in teacher evaluations.

Keep in Mind:

- Measures selected for local must be different than the measures used for the Growth subcomponent.
- Please see: <http://engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives/> for materials related to SLOs.
- Collective bargaining considerations.

