## New York State Student Learning Objective: ELA 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Grade

| All SLOs MUST include the following basic components: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Population | These are the students assigned to the course section(s) in this SLO - all students who are assigned to the course section(s) must be included in the SLO. (Full class rosters of all students must be provided for all included course sections.) <br> 3rd grade inclusion class: 23 students with 7 students identified with a range of special needs |
| Learning Content | What is being taught over the instructional period covered? CommonCore/National/State standards? Will this goal apply to all standards applicable to a course or just to specific priority standards? <br> NYS CCLS for $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade: <br> Phonics and Word Recognition <br> 5. Know and apply grade-level phonics and word analysis skills in decoding words. <br> a. Identify and know the meaning of the most common prefixes and derivational suffixes. <br> b. Decode words with common Latin suffixes. <br> c. Decode multi-syllable words. <br> d. Read grade-appropriate irregularly spelled words. |
| Interval of Instructional Time | What is the instructional period covered (if not a year, rationale for semester/quarter/etc.)? <br> 2012-2013 School Year |
| Evidence | What specific assessment(s) will be used to measure this goal? The assessment must align to the learning content of the course. <br> Baseline: Number of sight words students know on the $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade word list and the results of the end of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade on the district's phonics screening tool. <br> Summative: NYS ELA Assessment for $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade |


| Baseline | What is the starting level of students' knowledge of the learning content at the beginning of the instructional period? Sight words: Class average was 120/250. High was 245/250; low was 75/250. <br> Phonics screening results from $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade: Class average was $110 / 250$. High was $243 / 250$; low was $67 / 250$. Student-by-student data are outlined in spreadsheet. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target(s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { What } \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ | is the <br> of stu | xpecte <br> ents | outco <br> Il sco | at le | get) of <br> a 3 | tuden <br> on the | 'leve <br> State | f kno est an | at le |  | arning <br> will s | re | on th | nd of <br> State |  | uction | Iperio |  |  |  |
| HEDI Scoring | How will evaluators determine what range of student performance "meets" the goal (effective) versus "well-below" (ineffective), "below" (developing), and "well-above" (highly effective)? <br> Top row is for 3s; bottom row is for 4s. The two scores will be averaged for final score on 20 point scale. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HIGHLY EFFECTIVE |  |  | EFFECTIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DEVELOPING |  |  |  |  |  | INEFFECTIVE |  |  |
|  | 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 99- \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 97- \\ 98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 95- \\ 96 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 92- \\ 94 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 88- \\ & 91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 85- \\ 87 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 82- \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 79- \\ 81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 76- \\ 78 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 73- \\ 75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 71- \\ 72 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 68- \\ 70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 64- \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 60- \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57- \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 53- \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 49- \\ 52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 45- \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40- \\ & \hline 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30- \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline<30 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 59- \\ & 100 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $57-$ <br> 58 <br> $\%$ | $55-$ 56 $\%$ | 52- 54 $\%$ | 48- 51 $\%$ | 45- 47 $\%$ | 42- 44 $\%$ | $39-$ 41 $\%$ | $36-$ 38 $\%$ | $33-$ 35 $\%$ | $31-$ 32 $\%$ | 28- 30 $\%$ | 24- 27 $\%$ | $20-$ 23 $\%$ | $17-$ 19 $\%$ | 13- 16 $\%$ | 9- 12 $\%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5- \\ & 8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3- \\ & 4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1- \\ 2 \% \end{array}$ | 0\% |
|  | Describe the reasoning behind the choices regarding learning content, evidence, and target and how they will be used together to prepare students for future growth and development in subsequent grades/courses, as well as college and career readiness. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

