## New York State Student Learning Objective: ELA 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade

	All SLOs MUST include the following basic components:										
Population	These are the students assigned to the course section(s) in this SLO - all students who are assigned to the course section(s) must be included in the SLO. (Full class rosters of all students must be provided for all included course sections.) 3rd grade inclusion class: 23 students with 7 students identified with a range of special needs										
Learning Content	<ul> <li>What is being taught over the instructional period covered? CommonCore/National/State standards? Will this goal apply to all standards applicable to a course or just to specific priority standards?</li> <li>NYS CCLS for 3<sup>rd</sup> grade:</li> <li>Phonics and Word Recognition</li> <li>5. Know and apply grade-level phonics and word analysis skills in decoding words.</li> <li>a. Identify and know the meaning of the most common prefixes and derivational suffixes.</li> <li>b. Decode words with common Latin suffixes.</li> <li>c. Decode multi-syllable words.</li> <li>d. Read grade-appropriate irregularly spelled words.</li> </ul>										
Interval of Instructional Time	What is the instructional period covered (if not a year, rationale for semester/quarter/etc.)? 2012-2013 School Year										
Evidence	What specific assessment(s) will be used to measure this goal? The assessment must align to the learning content of the course. Baseline: Number of sight words students know on the 3 <sup>rd</sup> grade word list and the results of the end of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> grade on the district's phonics screening tool. Summative: NYS ELA Assessment for 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade										

Baseline Target(s)	Sight Phon Stude What	What is the starting level of students' knowledge of the learning content at the beginning of the instructional period?         Sight words: Class average was 120/250. High was 245/250; low was 75/250.         Phonics screening results from 2 <sup>nd</sup> grade: Class average was 110/250. High was 243/250; low was 67/250.         Student-by-student data are outlined in spreadsheet.         What is the expected outcome (target) of students' level of knowledge of the learning content at the end of the instructional period?																			
	How	80% of students will score at least a 3 on the State test and at least 40% will score a 4 on the State test. How will evaluators determine what range of student performance "meets" the goal (effective) versus "well-below" (ineffective), "below" (developing), and "well-above" (highly effective)?															oping),				
	Top r	Top row is for 3s; bottom row is for 4s. The two scores will be averaged for final score on 20 point scale.																			
HEDI Scoring	HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE									DEVELOPING						INEFFECTIVE		
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	<u>13</u>	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	99- 100	97- 98	95- 96	92- 94	88- 91	85- 87	82- 84	79- 81	76- 78	73- 75	71- 72	68- 70	64- 67	60- 63	57- 59	53- 56	49- 52	45- 48	40- 44	30- 39	<30 %
	59- 100 %	57- 58 %	55- 56 %	52- 54 %	48- 51 %	45- 47 %	42- 44 %	39- 41 %	36- 38 %	33- 35 %	31- 32 %	28- 30 %	24- 27 %	20- 23 %	17- 19 %	13- 16 %	9- 12 %	5- 8%	3- 4%	1- 2%	0%
		Describe the reasoning behind the choices regarding learning content, evidence, and target and how they will be used together to prepare students for future growth and development in subsequent grades/courses, as well as college and career readiness.																			
Rationale																					