# New York State Student Learning Objective: ELA Ist Grade (with push-in AIS) 

| All SLOs MUST include the following basic components: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Population | These are the students assigned to the course section(s) in this SLO - all students who are assigned to the course section(s) must be included in the SLO. 3 classes of $1^{\text {st }}$ grade, heterogeneously grouped, 75 students total, 18 receiving push-in AIS |
| Learning Content | What is being taught over the instructional period covered? Common Core/National/State standards? Will this goal apply to all standards applicable to a course or just to specific priority standards? <br> Reading Standard: Foundational Skills <br> Learning Standards 2 and 3: Phonological Awareness: Demonstrate understanding of spoken words, syllables, and sounds (phonemes). Phonics and Word Recognition: Know and apply grade-level phonics and word analysis skills in decoding words. |
| Interval of Instructional Time | What is the instructional period covered (if not a year, rationale for semester/quarter/etc)? \|2012-2013 |
| Evidence | What specific assessment(s) will be used to measure this goal? The assessment must align to the learning content of the course. <br> Baseline assessment: Kindergarten AIMSweb TEL measures: NWF Spring Universal Screening Results: AND Fall 2012 September DRA level. <br> Summative assessment: $1^{\text {st }}$ grade AIMSweb TEL measures: NWF Spring Universal Screening Results |
| Baseline | What is the starting level of students' knowledge of the learning content at the beginning of the instructional period? On 2011-2012 Aimsweb TEL Spring Universal Screening, 54\% scored above the target of 33 or above for NWF (Nonsense Word Fluency |


| Target(s) | What is the expected outcome (target) of students' level of knowledge of the learning content at the end of the instructional period? <br> Spring Universal Screening: $57 \%$ of all $1^{\text {st }}$ grade students will score at or above the target score of 57 on Aimsweb TEL NWF. $46 \%$ of AIS students will receive target score of 57 or higher. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HEDI Scoring | How will evaluators determine what range of student performance "meets" the goal (effective) versus "well-below" (ineffective), "below" (developing), and "well-above" (highly effective)? <br> See ranges as specified, top row is all students, bottom row is for AIS students. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HIGHLY EFFECTIVE |  |  | EFFECTIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DEVELOPING |  |  |  |  |  | INEFFECTIVE |  |  |
|  | 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|  | $>72$ $\%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70- \\ & 72 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68- \\ 69 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66- \\ 67 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64- \\ 65 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61- \\ 63 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58- \\ 60 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56- \\ 57 \% \\ 57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53- \\ 55 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50- \\ 52 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47- \\ & 49 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44- \\ & 46 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41- \\ 43 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37- \\ 40 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33- \\ 36 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30- \\ & 32 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27- \\ 29 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24- \\ 26 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21- \\ 23 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 18- \\ 20 \% \end{array}$ | $<20$ $\%$ |
|  | $>62$ $\%$ | 60- | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 58- \\ 59 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 56- \\ 57 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 54- \\ 55 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 51- \\ 53 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48- \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 46- \\ & 47 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 43- \\ 45 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40- \\ & 42 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 37- \\ 39 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 34- \\ & 36 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 31- \\ 33 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 27- \\ 30 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 23- \\ 26 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20- \\ & 22 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 17- \\ 19 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 14- \\ 16 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 11- \\ 13 \% \end{array}$ | 8- $10 \%$ | <8\% |
| Rationale | Describe the reasoning behind the choices regarding learning content, evidence, and target and how they will be used together to prepare students for future growth and development in subsequent grades/courses, as well as college and career readiness. <br> The Learning Content is based on the CCLS anchor standards and targets a foundational reading skill necessary to produce strategic readers. The baseline evidence provides a one-minute nonsense word reading fluency rate from the end of Kindergarten. Similarly, the summative assessment is based on a one-minute nonsense word reading fluency rate from the end of 1st grade. The target score is based on national norms which are highly correlated to success on the NYS English-Language Arts exams. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

