# New York State Student Learning Objective: Regents Chemistry/Grade II 

| All SLOs MUST include the following basic components: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Population | These are the students assigned to the course section(s) in this SLO - all students who are assigned to the course section(s) must be included in the SLO. (Full class rosters of all students must be provided for all included course sections.) <br> Three sections of Regents Chemistry students, grouped heterogeneously ( 75 total students) |
| Learning Content | What is being taught over the instructional period covered? Common Core/National/State standards? Will this goal apply to all standards applicable to a course or just to specific priority standards? <br> New York State Physical Setting/Chemistry Standards: <br> Standard 1: Analysis, Inquiry, and Design: Students will use mathematical analysis, scientific inquiry, and engineering design, as appropriate, to pose questions, seek answers, and develop solutions. <br> Standard 2: Information Systems: Students will access, generate, process, and transfer information using appropriate technologies. <br> Standard 4: The Physical Setting: Students will understand and apply scientific concepts, principles, and theories pertaining to the physical setting and living environment and recognize the historical development of ideas in science. <br> Standard 6: Interconnectedness: Common Themes: Students will understand the relationships and common themes that connect mathematics, science, and technology and apply the themes to these and other areas of learning. <br> Standard 7: Interdisciplinary Problem Solving: Students will apply the knowledge and thinking skills of mathematics, science, and technology to address real-life problems and make informed decisions. |
| Interval of Instructional Time | What is the instructional period covered (if not a year, rationale for semester/quarter/etc)? 2012-2013 School Year |
| Evidence | What specific assessment(s) will be used to measure this goal? The assessment must align to the learning content of the course. <br> 1. District-wide diagnostic assessment (District-created pre-assessment that is based on physical science/chemistry questions from the New York State Grade 8 Intermediate-Level Science Test, along with mathematics concepts utilized during the course), which will be administered at the beginning of the school year. <br> 2. New York State Physical Setting/Chemistry Regents Exam will be used as the summative assessment. |


| Baseline | What is the starting level of students' knowledge of the learning content at the beginning of the instructional period? <br> 1. $97 \%$ of students* passed the Living Environment and $92 \%$ of students* passed the Geometry Regents Exams from the previous school year. <br> 2. On the diagnostic assessment, students scored an average of $70 \%$ * on basic principles of Chemistry and mathematics. (* \%ages to be determined from the specific student population and diagnostic pre-assessment) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target(s) | What is the expected outcome (target) of students' level of knowledge of the learning content at the end of the instructional period? <br> The expected outcome is that $70 \%$ of students will score a $65 \%$ or higher on the Physical Setting/Chemistry Regents Exam at the conclusion of the course. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEDI Scoring | How will evaluators determine what range of student performance "meets" the goal (effective) versus "well-below" (ineffective), "below" (developing), and "well-above" (highly effective)? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HIGHLY EFFECTIVE |  |  | EFFECTIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DEVELOPING |  |  |  |  |  | INEFFECTIVE |  |  |
|  | 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 96- <br> 100 <br> $\%$ | 92- $95 \%$ | $87-$ $91 \%$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 83- \\ 86 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80- \\ & 82 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76- \\ 79 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 75 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 69- } \\ 71 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70- \\ 67 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66- \\ 63 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62- \\ 59 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58- \\ 55 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54- \\ 51 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50- \\ 47 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46- \\ 43 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ 39 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38- \\ 35 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34- \\ 31 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30- \\ & 27 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26- \\ 23 \% \end{gathered}$ | $<22$ $\%$ |
| Rationale | Describe the reasoning behind the choices regarding learning content, evidence, and target and how they will be used together to prepare students for future growth and development in subsequent grades/courses, as well as college and career readiness. <br> The diagnostic assessment used, was one that determined the mathematical and basic content-specific knowledge of current students. Solving algebraic equations is a key skill to have mastered in order to have success in this course. Furthermore, many basic Chemistryrelated concepts were taught in the intermediate years (Grades $5-8$ ) of the students' schooling. Therefore, the diagnostic exam used was appropriate. <br> According to the School Report Card, 67-70\% of students have scored a $65 \%$ or higher on the Regents Chemistry Exam. Increasing the number of students who score a minimum of a $65 \%$ is a department-wide goal, The HEDI scoring grid should be adjusted using the baseline information. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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