## Scoring Methodology for the 60% Teacher Effects

NYSUT recommends the outcomes/scores of the 60% Teacher Effects be tied to an average rubric score from 1-4. Using these standard scores will make the conversion to a rating easier to understand and compute.

### Converting points to a rating

The teacher's rating will drive how many points the teacher will receive toward the composite score. In this subcomponent, the teacher should first be rated according to the rubric, that rating would determine where the teacher falls in the HEDI categories, and then the points are applied. For example, a teacher that scores 3.0 on the rubric would translate to a score in the "effective" range. The teacher would then receive 58 points toward the composite score.

#### Calculating Steps

- Taking into account the SED preset scales for the other two sub-components and the composite scores, NYSUT calculated the scale (point distribution) for each rating category (Highly Effective=59-60, Effective=57-58, Developing=50-56, Ineffective=0-49) for this sub-component.
- Once these sub-component scale scores were determined, NYSUT calculated how much each rubric score category of 1-4 would be worth, based on the number of points within each category. For example, a 1 on the rubric equates to an ineffective rating, the number of possible rubric points in the 1 range would need to equate to the 49 points of the ineffective subcomponent score. SED requires that all points 0-60 are reachable, so the rubric scores in the Ineffective range were expanded in order to accommodate all of the possible scores 0-49. Each category conversion was calculated based on the possible number of rubric scores and the number of sub-component points within each category.

#### **Teacher Effects Conversion Scale**

1 Cachel Effects Conversion Scare				
Level	Overall rubric average score	60 point distribution for		
		composite		
Ineffective	1-1.4	0-49		
Developing	1.5-2.4	50-56		
Effective	2.5-3.4	57-58		
Highly Effective	3.5-4	59-60		

The detailed conversion chart below allows districts to convert any average rubric score to a specific conversion score for that sub-component.

## Rubric Score to Sub-Component Conversion Chart

Total Average Rubric Score	Category	Conversion score for composite
	Ineffective 0-49	
1.000		0
1.008		1
1.017		2
1.025		3
1.033		4
1.042		5
1.050		6
1.058		7
1.067		8
1.075		9
1.083		10
1.092		11
1.100		12
1.108		13
1.115		14
1.123		15
1.131		16
1.138		17
1.146		18
1.154		19
1.162		20
1.169		21
1.177		22
1.185		23
1.192		24
1.200		25
1.208		26
1.217		27
1.225		28
1.233		29
1.242		30
1.250		31
		32
1.258		33
1.267		33
1.275		35
1.283		36
1.292		37
1.300		38
1.308		39
1.317		
1.325		40
1.333		41
1.342		42
1.350		43
1.358		44
1.367		45
1.375		46

1.383	47
1.392	48
1.400	49
	Developing 50-56
1.5	50
1.6	50.7
1.7	51.4
1.8	52.1
1.9	52.8
2	53.5
2.1	54.2
2.2	54.9
2.3	55.6
2.4	56.3
	Effective 57-58
2.5	57
2.6	57.2
2.7	57.4
2.8	57.6
2.9	57.8
3	58
3.1	58.2
3.2	58.4
3.3	58.6
3.4	58.8
	Highly Effective 59-60
3.5	59
3.6	59.3
3.7	59.5
3.8	59.8
3.9	60
4	60.25 (round to 60)

# NYSUT TED Rubric Example

Assessment of Teacher Effectiveness Standard	Observation #1 and Evidence Score	Observation #2 and Evidence Score	Observation #3 and Evidence Score
Standard 1	3		4
Knowledge of Student and			
Student Learning			
Standard 2	4		
Knowledge of Content and			
Instructional Planning			
Standard 3	3		3
Instructional Practice			
Standard 4		3	
Learning Environment			
Standard 5	2		4
Assessment for Student Learning			
Standard 6			3
Professional Responsibilities and			
Collaboration			
Standard 7			2
Professional Growth			
	,		
Subtotal of observation and	12	3	16
evidence column			
Divide by the number of	12/4 = 3	3/1 = 3	16/5 = 3.2
standards evaluated in each			
column			
Average the final scores		9.2/3 = 3.06	- # U/Cor
Total score of Professional		3.06	U
Practice 1-4 Rating		J.00	
HEDI Rating		Effective	
Sub-component score	58		

# Rubrics for Enhancing Professional Practice: A Framework for Teaching Danielson Rubric

Danielson Performance Level	on Performance Level SED Performance Level	
Unsatisfactory	Ineffective	1
Basic	Developing	2
Proficient	Effective	3
Distinguished	Highly Effective	4

Assessment of teacher effectiveness	Observation/Evidence			
Domain Scores	Average	Weighting		
Domain 1	2.4	13% = 0.3		
Planning and Preparation				
Domain 2	3.1	21% = 0.6		
The Classroom Environment				
Domain 3	2.6	21% = 0.5		
Instruction				
Domain 4	2.1	13% = 0.3		
Professional Responsibilities				
Other Evidence	3.4	33%=1.1		
Subtotal	13.6	2.9		
Divide by the number of	13.6/5 =2.7			
Domains/evidence				
Final score	2.7	2.9		
	Not Weighted	Weighted		
HEDI Rating	Effective	Effective		
Sub-component score (using conversion chart)	57.4	57.8		

## Marzano / iObservation

Assessment of Teacher Effectiveness Domain	Domain Score	Domain Weighting*
Domain 1	3	68% = 2.4
Classroom Strategies and Behaviors		
(41 Elements)		
Domain 2	4	14% = .56
Planning and Preparing for Lessons and Units		
(8 Elements)		
Domain 3	2	8% = .16
Reflecting on Teaching		
(5 Elements)		
Domain 4	1	10% - 0.1
Collegiality and Professionalism		
(6 Elements)		
Total Rubric Score		2.86
HEDI Rating	Effective	
Sub-Component Score	57.7	
(Using conversion chart)	31.1	

<sup>\*</sup>Marzano recommended weighting

# Kim Marshall Rubric Example

Assessment of Teacher Effectiveness	Domain Score
Domain	Based on Average of
	Criteria Scores
Domain 1	3.4
A. Planning and Preparation of Learning	
(10 Criteria)	
Domain 2	3.5
B. Classroom Management	
(10 Criteria)	
Domain 3	3.7
C. Delivery of Instruction	
(10 Criteria)	
Domain 4	3
D. Monitoring, Assessment, and Follow-up	
(10 Criteria)	
Domain 5	2.9
E. Family and Community Outreach	
(10 Criteria)	1
Domain 6	3.6
F. Professional Responsibilities	
(10 Criteria)	
Total Rubric Score	20.1 / 6 = 3.35
TIPDI D.4'	
HEDI Rating	Effective
Sub-Component Score	58.8
(Using conversion chart)	30.0

			No. 6
9			
	1		
	*		