Common Core State Standards: Where do we begin?

by Joanne Picone-Zocchia



Depending on your role in the school system and the local and/or state expectations, the question, "Where do we begin?" tends to be accompanied by more or less of a sense of urgency. It can be tempting to quickly lay out a series of activities that could provide a road map for working with the Common Core State Standards, especially when this question is delivered breathlessly and followed by several exclamation points. Without a few pieces of information, however, that course of action may not be very productive. As with

any change initiative, the keys to where you begin lie in defining the ultimate expectations for where all of this will lead, in an understanding of where you are now relative to where you intend to go, in the identification of key players to be involved, and a timeframe for the journey from here to there. Once these pieces are addressed, the response to the question, "Where do we begin?" becomes far more approachable.

Defining the ultimate expectations for where the planned work with the Common Core State standards will ultimately take you is the organizational development equivalent to "backwards design." What do we want our [school, district, teachers, students] to know and be able to do as a result of our work with the CCSS? This question is coupled with, "How will we know that we have achieved this? or "What will success look like?" School A's definition of success grounded in all educators having a deep understanding of the Common Core State Standards that allows them to be used to identify learning targets and consider potential changes needed in teaching and learning practices will clearly require a different set of steps and activities than School B's success that is defined by a system-wide integration of CCSS into tools, processes and structures that support teaching and learning. Where School A would benefit from activities focused on developing individuals' capacity to understand and use the CCSS in their own classroom context, School B not only requires a focus on each individual classroom, but also explicit attention to the infrastructure of the system itself, in terms of how it supports the integration of the CCSS into classroom teaching and learning. While seemingly at opposite ends of a spectrum, each end result could be valid and important.

Having a good sense of where schools are relative to where they want to be provides a starting point for the work. Developing deep awareness in a school or district that does not yet recognize the Common Core State Standards as a relevant factor in education is far different from developing awareness in a school where educators are

Common Core State Standards: Where do we begin?

already talking about the existence of the standards and the need for change. Different yet again would be the starting point for a school where the focus is already on CCSS in classroom curriculum because they have heard that these standards will ground the structure of local or state assessments. The degree to which the school or district has engaged in previous standards-based work, and the experiences individuals have had with standards-based design is also a strong measure of where they are in terms of the work ahead.

Figure 1 provides a sample framework for CCSS work that is organized around longterm goals and focus areas informed by the needs presented from an analysis of the present state. They are offered here, not as prescribed pathways, but as examples of thinking and models of possibility. Each column sketches activities that move the work toward a different long-term goal, through several possible focus areas. Viewed this way, multiple needs and/or audiences can be addressed while working toward the same goal. Rows, reading left to right, provide a glimpse of how a single focus area might look contextualized inside different long-term goals. Because of the sequence of the long-term goals, rows can also be viewed as a continuum, in which case, the activities sketched can support differentiation of CCSS work within a common focus area.

Knowing where existing expertise related to standards or curriculum or facilitation of adult learners lies can help to determine the designers, launchers and implementers. Some of the questions that can ground purposeful and strategic work around the Common Core State Standards include:

- Who will spearhead this work?
- Who can conceptualize and design the pieces inside the big picture?
- Who will be responsible for launching the work?
- Who is best suited to implementing and monitoring the effects of the activities and events?
- Who will participate?
- Who will benefit?

Each of these questions gets at the various players involved in the work around the Common Core State Standards. Attention to coordination of efforts, as well as keeping track of overburdening individuals with responsibility or experiences, are important considerations for long term planning.

The pressure, or persuasion, provided by a timeline is another key element in where to begin. Does your school have a year...a month...a two-hour session... to develop awareness? Are you expected to achieve complete system-wide integration within two years? In places where there is the sense of time to explore, get to know and respond to the organizational, teaching and learning challenges presented by the advent of the Common Core State Standards, then beginning with activities that support unpacking and deep examination of the standards and association between what the standards require and what is currently in place could provide a compelling and interesting series that would indeed culminate in deep awareness. In places where the timeline is not quite as generous, having a clear sense of where you are and targeting activities to address key understandings and needs can help to move the process along. The shorter the timeline, the more important consistent and clear communication and feedback loops become. Time can easily be lost to misunderstandings, application errors and resistance. Engaging mixed role groups in careful planning, implementation and monitoring of the CCSS processes and activities as well as in responding to the needs and reactions of those involved can be helpful in maintaining forward momentum.

In the end, the response to, "Where do we begin our work with the Common Core State Standards?" lies inside the very place asking the question. It is defined by the ultimate hopes and goals for the effect of the work; grounded in the present state of curriculum, instruction and assessment beliefs and practices; informed by the thinking and expertise of those who will be key players in the process; and moved by the time available, whether perceived or real.



	PRIMARY GOAL				
FOCUS AREA	Develop a deep awareness of the CCSS among educators	Develop the skills of educators in connecting the CCSS to discrete learning opportunities or tasks	Enable educators to use the CCSS in the design of classroom curriculum	Integrate the CCSS into processes and structures that support curriculum, instruction and assessment	
Understanding the Common Core State Standards	Read and unpack the CCSS in terms of demands on students and implications for educator practice	Read and unpack the CCSS in terms of demands on students, implications for educator practice, and lesson or activity design Engage in a crosswalk between CCSS and existing local, state or national standards, noticing commonalities and differences	Engage in a crosswalk between grade level specific CCSS and existing local, state or national standards, making connections to existing curriculum, educator practice, learner needs and current curriculum	Examine student work for evidence of grade level specific CCSS, and revise curriculum, instruction and assessment to better ensure both the presence of the standards and student success in achieving them	
Documenting the connections between the CCSS and classroom curriculum	Gap analysis - use of a protocol to examine distance between current taught and assessed curriculum and the CCSS Use data to inform conversations about curriculum needs and strategic planning for revisions Use CCSS to help identify or confirm grade level learning targets	Gap analysis - use of a protocol to examine distance between current taught and assessed curriculum and the CCSS Use data to inform conversations about teacher practice, strategic planning and revisions to assessment tasks Use CCSS as a tool to help document key grade level learning targets	Gap analysis - use of a protocol to examine distance between current taught and assessed curriculum and the CCSS Use data to inform conversations about teacher practice, strategic planning and classroom curriculum revision or design Use CCSS to establish grade level learning targets to support needed curriculum revisions	Gap analysis - use of a protocol to examine distance between the CCSS and the current state, including taught, assessed and learned curriculum, as well as educator practices and technology use Use data to inform organizational level discussions and strategic action planning re: professional development, technology access and use, interplay between and among classroom, school, district and state assessment systems and expectations, revisions to teaching and learning systems and procedures, etc.	

Figure 1. Common Core State Standards Activities Matrix



	PRIMARY GOAL			
FOCUS AREA	Develop a deep awareness of the CCSS among	Develop the skills of educators in connecting the	Enable educators to use the CCSS in the design of	Integrate the CCSS into processes and structures
	educators	CCSS to discrete learning	classroom curriculum	that support curriculum,
		opportunities or tasks		instruction and assessment
Connecting components of quality, standards based curriculum and the CCSS	Introduce or review assessment moments, types, stakes and examples Examination of the CCSS through the lens of diagnostic, formative and summative assessment, annotating specific connections	Identify assessment moments, types, stakes and examples in lessons and activities and connect to grade level specific CCSS Introduce or review engagement, relevance and meaningfulness in classroom curriculum Examination of the CCSS through the lens of engagement, relevance and meaningfulness, annotating specific connections that could influence revision of assessment tasks	 Analysis of the grade level specific CCSS to: find support for classroom assessment moments (diagnostic, formative, summative) identify opportunities for engaging, relevant and meaningful CCSS-based classroom curriculum Assess existing classroom lessons and activities for engagement relevance and meaningfulness and connect to above CCSS analysis Introduce or review processes for communicating explicit criteria in the form of rubrics and checklists, with a specific focus on integrating CCSS into these tools and incorporating them into formative classroom assessment moments 	System-wide analysis of assessment, looking for: integration of CCSS technology application balance and coherence among assessment moments (diagnostic, formative and summative) and types (recall, performance, product, meta-cognitive) engagement, relevance and meaningfulness Assess classroom rubrics and checklists, using quality criteria and CCSS Introduce or review authentic assessment and reflection/metacognition as part of a balanced classroom assessment system



	PRIMARY GOAL			
FOCUS AREA	Develop a deep awareness of the CCSS among educators	Develop the skills of educators in connecting the CCSS to discrete learning opportunities or tasks	Enable educators to use the CCSS in the design of classroom curriculum	Integrate the CCSS into processes and structures that support curriculum, instruction and assessment
Developing skills in the design of quality, standards based curriculum	Identify or revise lessons or activities to address one or more instructional or assessment gaps, or to improve alignment with a grade level specific CCSS learning target	Design and/or revise extended lesson sets and related assessments to address one or more instructional or assessment gaps and to improve or create alignment with a grade level specific CCSS learning target	Design and/or revise units to maximize the opportunities for formative assessment and ensure the integration of explicit, CCSS-based criteria into active student and teacher practice.	Refine CCSS-based rubrics and checklists, and focus on incorporating them into formative classroom assessments with explicit student and educator reflection and goal setting opportunities attached Design and/or revise units to integrate CCSS, include authentic assessment and ongoing student and educator reflection.
Support for leading and monitoring professional practice related to the CCSS	Adopt and implement pre- designed observation tools to support educator awareness and professional discussions of the CCSS, and their implications for teaching and learning	Adapt and implement existing observation tools to support educator application of the CCSS to specific lessons or assessment tasks	Customize or design and implement observation tools to support the integration of CCSS in: • units • standards-based rubrics and checklists • classroom formative assessment routines and processes • teacher and student practice	Design and implement observation tools that explicitly assess teaching and learning practices and structures, and integrate supports for: • integration of CCSS • technology application • balance and coherence among assessment moments (diagnostic, formative and summative) and types (recall, performance, product, meta-cognitive) • engagement, relevance and meaningfulness • strategic use of authentic assessment



	PRIMARY GOAL				
	Develop a deep awareness	Develop the skills of	Enable educators to use	Integrate the CCSS into	
FOCUS AREA	of the CCSS among	educators in connecting the	the CCSS in the design of	processes and structures	
	educators	CCSS to discrete learning	classroom curriculum	that support curriculum,	
		opportunities or tasks		instruction and assessment	
Inquiry	Use protocols for analyzing	Use protocols for analyzing	Use protocols for	Use protocols for analyzing	
connections	student work resulting from	student work resulting from	analyzing student work	student work resulting from	
	CCSS-focused revisions:	CCSS focused revisions or	resulting from the revision	revised units, specifically	
	 identify specific teacher 	design:	or design of units to	focusing on the impact of	
	practices and learning	 identify specific teacher 	integrate CCSS-based	CCSS work on teaching	
	strategies that support	practices and learning	rubrics and checklists into	practices and student	
	student acquisition of	strategies that support	formative classroom	learning, in order to:	
	CCSS	student acquisition of	assessment moments:	 identify specific 	
	 build successful 	CCSS	 to identify specific 	organizational structures,	
	strategies into	 build successful 	practices and strategies	practices and strategies	
	subsequent learning	strategies into	that support student	that support student	
	opportunities	subsequent learning	acquisition of CCSS	acquisition of CCSS	
	 gather additional data 	opportunities	 build successful 	 strengthen and add to 	
	on their success with	 gather additional data 	strategies into	successful structures,	
	different types of	on their success with	subsequent teaching	practices and strategies	
	learners	different types of	and learning	 gather additional system- 	
		learners	 gather additional data 	wide data on their success	
			on their success with		
			different types of		
			learners		

